Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads

Dmitri Pavlov (Faculty of Mathematics, University of Regensburg); http://dmitripavlov.org/
Jakob Scholbach (Mathematical Institute, University of Münster); http://math.uni-muenster.de/u/jscho_04/

arXiv:1410.5675v2 [math.AT] 29 Oct 2015

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Symmetricity properties 3
3. Colored collections 4
4. The enveloping operad 7
5. Admissibility of operads 8
6. Strong admissibility of operads 11
7. Rectification of algebras over operads 14
8. Transport of operads and operadic algebras 18
9. Applications 23
References 28

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the model-categorical study of operads and their algebras. The concept of an algebra over a colored symmetric operad allows for a uniform treatment of algebraic structures which produce an output from multiple inputs, subject to some symmetry constraints. For example, a commutative monoid X in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is specified by Σ_n-equivariant maps $X^{\otimes n} \to X$, subject to the usual associativity and unitality constraints. In a seemingly artificial way, this can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Comm}_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} X^{\otimes n} \to X,$$

where Comm is the so-called commutative operad, which satisfies $\mathrm{Comm}_n = 1$, the monoidal unit. More generally, an algebra of a single-colored operad O is an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ together with maps

$$O_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} A^{\otimes n} \to A,$$

which are compatible with the multiplication in O in a suitable sense. Colored symmetric operads, also known as symmetric multicategories, are a many-objects version of ordinary operads. They allow input from more than one object. For example, there is a two-colored operad whose algebras are pairs (R, M), where R is a commutative monoid in $\mathcal{C}$ and M is an R-module. Interestingly, operads themselves are algebras over a certain operad.

Symmetric operads and their algebras, which were first introduced by May, are ubiquitous in homotopy theory and beyond. A prototypical example is the m-fold loop space $\Omega^m X$ of some topological space X: concatenation of paths yields a multiplication map

$$\mu_n \colon (\Omega^m X)^n \to \Omega^m X,$$

which is neither associative nor commutative, but only associative and commutative up to homotopy. This and the compatibility of these homotopies for various n is concisely encoded in the fact that $\Omega^m X$ is an algebra over some operad O, meaning that there are maps (for all n, and compatible with each other):

$$O_n \times_{\Sigma_n} (\Omega^m X)^n \to \Omega^m X.$$

If O_n was just a point, then this would mean that the multiplication on $\Omega^m X$ is strictly commutative and associative, which it is not. However, O can be chosen to be the little disks operad E_m. For $m = \infty$ these levels O_n are contractible spaces, which can be interpreted as saying that infinite loop spaces are homotopy coherent commutative monoids. Recently, E_n-algebras have been attracting a lot of attention in questions related to factorization homology (also known as topological chiral homology) and Goodwillie calculus of functors.

Our first main theorem is a highly flexible existence criterion for a model structure on algebras over operads in a model category. This is a powerful tool for homotopical computations related to algebras over operads, such as the loop space.

Theorem 1.1. *(See Theorems 5.10, 6.6.) Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category which is* symmetric h-monoidal *and satisfies some minor technical assumptions. Then any symmetric W-colored operad O is* admissible*, i.e., the category $\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})$ of O-algebras carries a model structure whose weak equivalences and fibrations are inherited from $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}^W$ preserves cofibrant objects and cofibrations between them if $\mathcal{C}$ is* symmetroidal.

This admissibility result is widely applicable because its assumptions are satisfied for many basic model categories such as simplicial sets, topological spaces, simplicial presheaves, chain complexes of rational vector spaces. It does not apply to chain complexes of abelian groups, and in fact the commutative operad is provably not admissible in this category. Moreover, as was shown in [PS15], symmetric h-monoidality (and similarly with symmetroidality and symmetric flatness) are stable under transfer and monoidal left Bousfield localizations, which allows to easily promote these properties from basic model categories to more advanced model categories, such as spectra. The latter are shown in [PS14] to be symmetric h-monoidal, symmetroidal, and symmetric flat.

The key condition of symmetric h-monoidality is a symmetric strengthening of the h-monoidality condition. The latter was introduced by Batanin and Berger in [BB13] and is closely related to the monoid axiom. Essentially, it means that for any object Y in $\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$ (objects of $\mathcal{C}$ with a Σ_n-action) and any cofibration f, the map

$$Y \otimes_{\Sigma_n} s^{\square n} := (Y \otimes s^{\square n})_{\Sigma_n}$$

is an h-cofibration, which is a weak equivalence if f is an acyclic cofibration. Here $f^{\square n}$ is the n-fold pushout product of f. Symmetroidality is a related condition, obtained by replacing "h-cofibration" above by "cofibration" and $Y \otimes -$ by $y \,\square -$ for some map y.

In practice, a frequent question is how to replace algebras over some operad by those over a weakly equivalent operad. For example, the little disks operad is such that O_n is a contractible space and has a free Σ_n-action. It is therefore called an E_∞-operad. One can therefore ask whether $\Omega^\infty X$, together with the multiplications μ_n, is weakly equivalent to some space with a strictly commutative and associative multiplication. In this example, it is well-known that connected E_∞-spaces with nontrivial Postnikov invariants, e.g., the identity component of the space $\Omega^\infty\Sigma^\infty S^0$, can *not* be strictified to a simplicial abelian group. Indeed by a classical result of Moore [Moo58, Theorem 3.29], connected simplicial abelian groups have trivial Postnikov invariants.

The following rectification theorem identifies a criterion when a rectification of operadic algebras is possible.

Theorem 1.2. *(See Theorem 7.5.) For any map of admissible operads $O \to P$ in a symmetric monoidal model category, there is a Quillen adjunction*

$$\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_P(\mathcal{C}).$$

Provided that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies some minor technical assumptions, it is a Quillen equivalence if and only if $O \to P$ is a symmetric flat *map in $\mathcal{C}$.*

The symmetric flatness condition essentially requires that the map

$$O_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} X^{\otimes n} \to P_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} X^{\otimes n}$$

is a weak equivalence for all cofibrant objects X and all $n \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is the model category of rational chain complexes, this condition holds for all weak equivalences $O \to P$. In [PS14], we show that the same is true for symmetric spectra in an abstract model category. However, this condition does not hold for all maps in simplicial sets, in particular, it fails for the components of $\mathrm{E}_\infty \to \mathrm{Comm}$. This matches the above observation of the nonrectifiability of E_∞-algebras to strictly commutative simplicial monoids. Nevertheless, it is satisfied for any pair of E_∞ operads in simplicial sets, which shows that the algebras over such operads are all Quillen equivalent to each other.

As a consequence of this rectification result, we obtain Theorem 7.10 which relates algebras over operads in the strict sense, as above, and algebras over quasicategorical operads as introduced by Lurie.

Operads and their algebras in different model categories also behave as nicely as possible. Such a result allows to replace $\mathcal{C}$ by a more convenient model category, which is often necessary in practice.

Theorem 1.3. *(See Theorem 8.10). For any Quillen equivalence*

$$F\colon \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}\colon G$$

between symmetric monoidal model categories as above, where F is symmetric oplax monoidal such that the canonical maps $F\mathrm{Q}(1_\mathcal{C}) \to 1_\mathcal{D}$ and $F(C \otimes C') \to F(C) \otimes F(C')$ are weak equivalences for all cofibrant objects $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a Quillen equivalence of the categories of W-colored (symmetric) operads

$$F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}} : (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}(\mathcal{C}') : G.$$

Moreover, there is a Quillen equivalence for any cofibrant (symmetric) operad O,

$$F^{\mathbf{Alg}} : \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_{F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O)}(\mathcal{D}) : G.$$

The admissibility and rectification of nonsymmetric and symmetric operads is a topic that was addressed by various authors. Spitzweck has shown the existence of a semi-model structure for special symmetric operads, namely those whose underlying symmetric sequence is projectively cofibrant (which roughly means that Σ_n acts freely on O_n) [Spi01, Theorem 4.7]. This rules out the commutative operad, whose algebras are commutative monoids. The admissibility of the commutative operad was shown by Lurie under the assumption of symmetroidality of the commutative operad, see Lemma 4.5.4.11.(1) and Proposition 4.5.4.6 of [Lur]. An independent account of this result was later given by White [Whi14, Theorem 3.2]. The admissibility of all

operads was shown by Elmendorf and Mandell for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{sSet}$ [EM06, Theorem 1.3], Berger and Moerdijk [BM03] and Caviglia [Cav14] for colored operads. The latter two results use an assumption on the path object which serves to cut short a certain homotopical analysis of pushouts, which is performed in this paper. The path object argument was also used by Johnson and Yau to establish a model structure on colored PROPs [JY09]. PROPs are more general than symmetric operads in that not only multiple inputs, but also multiple outputs are allowed. Harper showed the admissibility of all symmetric operads in simplicial symmetric spectra [Har09]. This was generalized by Hornbostel to spectra in simplicial presheaves [Hor13]. Finally, Muro has shown the admissibility of all nonsymmetric operads [Mur11, Mur15]. A more detailed review of these results is found in §5.

Harper also established a rectification result under the assumption that every symmetric sequence is projectively cofibrant [Har10, Theorem 1.4]. This strong assumption applies to categories such as rational chain complexes. In this case, rectification is due to Hinich [Hin97]. Lurie [Lur] established rectification of E_∞-algebras in the context of ∞-operads, again under a strong assumption that only applies to special model categories such as rational chain complexes. These and further results are reviewed in §7.

Thus all previous results have either restrictions on the operad and/or on the category in which the operad lives. Our results are applicable to all operads and to a very broad range of model categories. This wide applicability results from the fact that conditions of symmetric h-monoidality, symmetroidality and symmetric flatness occurring above are stable under transfer and left Bousfield localization. Thus, they are easily promoted from simplicial sets to simplicial presheaves, say.

In §2, we recall the *symmetricity properties* introduced in [PS15]: symmetric h-monoidality, symmetroidality, and symmetric flatness, and a few other basic notions on model categories. As was shown in [PS15, 5.2.1, 5.2.6, 6.2.1, 6.2.2], these properties are stable transfer and monoidal Bousfield localizations. Given that these two methods are the most commonly used tools to construct model structures, the admissibility and rectification results in this paper are applicable to a wide range of model categories.

In §3, we start with a brief review of colored symmetric collections and the substitution product. Symmetric operads are defined as monoids in this category $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$. In §5, we show that symmetric h-monoidality is the key condition needed to ensure the admissibility of arbitrary symmetric operads O, i.e., the existence of the transferred model structure on O-algebras. In §6, we show that symmetroidality is needed to additionally guarantee the strong admissibility of O, i.e., the functor forgetting the O-algebra structure preserves cofibrations with cofibrant source. In §7, we show the rectification of algebras of weakly equivalent symmetric operads. In §8, we establish Quillen equivalences of operads and their algebras in different model categories.

We obtain the above-mentioned theorems by systematically using the symmetricity properties above. In addition to that, this section uses Spitzweck's and Berger–Moerdijk's description of certain pushouts of operads [Spi01, BM09]. In §9, we finish this paper with examples and applications ranging from low-dimensional category theory to prefactorization algebras.

We thank Clemens Berger, Giovanni Caviglia, Denis-Charles Cisinski, John Harper, Jacob Lurie, Birgit Richter, Brooke Shipley, and David White for helpful conversations. We thank Thomas Nikolaus for a discussion that led to Theorem 7.10. This work was partially supported by the SFB 878 grant.

2. Symmetricity properties

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal model category in the sense of [Hov99, Definitions 4.1.6, 4.2.6], except that we do not require the unit axiom. In this section we briefly recall from [PS15, §4] the symmetricity properties which are the key conditions in the admissibility, strong admissibility and rectification results of this paper (see Theorems 5.10, 6.6, 7.5).

We use the notation of [PS15, especially §3.1, Definition 4.2.1]. In particular, in the definitions below, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_e)$ is an arbitrary finite multiindex. For a family $s = (s_1, \dots, s_e)$ of maps in $\mathcal{C}$, $\Sigma_n := \prod_i \Sigma_{n_i}$ acts on the pushout product $s^{\square n} := \square_i s_i^{\square n_i}$. A subscript Σ_n denotes the coinvariants of the Σ_n-action, such as $- \otimes_{\Sigma_n} -$.

The concept of h-monoidality in Part (iii) is due to Batanin and Berger [BB13, Definition 1.7]. Recall from op. cit. that an *h-cofibration* $f\colon X \to Y$ is a map such that in any pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{g'} & B'. \end{array}$$

the map g' is a weak equivalence if g is one. If, in addition, f is a weak equivalence, it is an *acyclic h-cofibration*.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category.

(i) $\mathcal{C}$ is *admissibly generated* if it is cofibrantly generated and if the (co)domains of a set I of generating cofibrations (equivalently, by [Hir03, Corollary 10.4.9], all cofibrant objects) are small with respect to the subcategory

$$\mathrm{cell}(Y \otimes_{\Sigma_n} s^{\square n}) \tag{2.2}$$

for any finite family s of cofibrations, and any object $Y \in \Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$. As usual, cell denotes the closure of a class of maps under pushouts and transfinite composition.

(ii) $\mathcal{C}$ is *strongly admissibly generated* if it is cofibrantly generated and if (co)dom(I) are ($\aleph_0$-)compact (also known as finite) relative to (2.2) [Hir03, Definition 10.8.1].

(iii) $\mathcal{C}$ is *h-monoidal* if the map $Y \otimes s$ is an (acyclic) h-cofibration for any (acyclic) cofibration s, and any object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

(iv) $\mathcal{C}$ is *symmetric h-monoidal* if $Y \otimes_{\Sigma_n} s^{\square n}$ is an (acyclic) h-cofibration for any finite family s of (acyclic) cofibrations, and any $Y \in \Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$.

(v) Let $\mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a collection of classes $\mathcal{Y}_n$ of morphisms in $\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$, where $n \geq 1$ is any finite multi-index. We suppose that for $y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$, $y \,\square -$ preserves *injective* (acyclic) cofibrations in $\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$, i.e., those maps which are (acyclic) cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is *$\mathcal{Y}$-symmetroidal* if the morphism

$$y \,\square_{\Sigma_n} s^{\square n}$$

is an (acyclic) cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ for all finite families s of (acyclic) cofibrations and all maps $y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. If $\mathcal{Y}_n = \mathrm{C}_{\Sigma_n^{\mathrm{in}}\mathcal{C}}$ (injective cofibrations), we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *(acyclic) symmetroidal*.

(vi) A weak equivalence y is flat if $y \,\square\, s$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ for any cofibration s. $\mathcal{C}$ is *flat* if all weak equivalences are flat.

(vii) $\mathcal{C}$ is *symmetric flat with respect to a class* $\mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}_n)$ of weak equivalences $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$ if $y \,\square_{\Sigma_n} s^{\square n}$ is a weak equivalence (in $\mathcal{C}$) for any family s of cofibrations and any $y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. For $\mathcal{Y} = (\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}})$, we just say $\mathcal{C}$ is *symmetric flat*.

These conditions are usually stable under weak saturation, i.e., they only have to be checked for *generating* (acyclic) cofibrations s. Simplicial sets with their standard model structure are symmetroidal, symmetric h-monoidal, and flat (but not symmetric flat). The same is true for simplicial presheaves with the projective, injective, or local (with respect to some topology) model structures, and also for simplicial modules.

For any commutative ring R, chain complexes of R-modules with their projective model structure are flat and h-monoidal. They are symmetroidal, symmetric h-monoidal, and symmetric flat if and only if R contains $\mathbf{Q}$.

The admissible generation is automatic if $\mathcal{C}$ is combinatorial [Lur09, Definition A.2.6.1]. Moreover, topological spaces are admissibly generated, symmetric h-monoidal, and symmetroidal.

To check symmetricity properties of more involved model categories, one can use the fact that the properties above are stable under transfer (appropriately compatible with the monoidal structure), and monoidal Bousfield localizations. Combining these principles, we show in [PS14, Theorem 3.3.4] that spectra with values in a flat, h-monoidal (but not necessarily symmetric flat nor symmetric h-monoidal) category $\mathcal{C}$, with the positive stable model structure, are symmetric flat, symmetroidal, and symmetric h-monoidal. In particular, this allows to replace $\mathcal{C}$ by a Quillen equivalent, symmetric flat and symmetric h-monoidal model category.

The reader is referred to [PS15, Theorem 4.3.9, Theorem 5.2.6, Theorem 6.2.2, §7] for precise statements of the above facts and further examples.

Many results below include a condition that weak equivalences in $\mathcal{C}$ are stable under transfinite compositions or filtered colimits. This condition is satisfied if $\mathcal{C}$ is cofibrantly generated and its generating cofibrations I have compact domain and codomain or, slightly more generally, if $\mathcal{C}$ is pretty small in the sense of [PS15, Definition 2.0.2]. This condition is satisfied for **sSet**, $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$, and many other basic model categories, but not for **Top**. However, **Top** is strongly admissibly generated, which is enough to conclude that the filtered colimits of the weak equivalences that actually occur (as a result of a cellular presentation of cofibrant objects) are indeed again weak equivalences. We call $\mathcal{C}$ *quasi-tractable* if its (acyclic) cofibrations are contained in the weak saturation of (acyclic) cofibrations with cofibrant source (and target). Again, this holds for **sSet**, **Top**, $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$. All three conditions are stable under localization and transfer, turning them into viable and effectively checkable conditions.

3. Colored collections

In §3–4, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category. In this section we give a very brief overview of W-colored (symmetric) operads and colored modules over them (e.g., algebras over operads). The reader can consult Gambino and Joyal [GJ14] for more details. Constructions in this section involve a set W, whose elements are called *colors*. The reader may assume that W has exactly one element, which yields ordinary operads.

W-colored symmetric operads in $\mathcal{C}$ are defined as monoids in a certain monoidal category $(\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C}), \circ)$ and V-colored modules over a given W-colored (symmetric) operad O are defined as left modules over O in the category $(\mathbf{sColl}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C}), \circ)$, which itself is a left module over the monoidal category $(\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C}), \circ)$. The idea behind $(\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C}), \circ)$ is that an object in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$ encodes all possible operations, whereas the monoid structure encodes the composition of operations. Operations have a multisource, consisting of a finite family of colors, and a target, which is a single color. Furthermore, for any operation we can permute elements in its source and obtain another operation. Operations with a fixed multisource and target form an object of $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$. Likewise, an object in $\mathbf{sColl}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$ encodes operands that can be acted upon from the left by operations in a W-colored operad and the left module structure encodes these actions. The operands are encoded by a V-valued

multisource and a target in W. Thus the data of all operations can be encoded as a $\mathcal{C}$-valued presheaf on a certain groupoid $\mathbf{sSeq}_W$ or $\mathbf{sSeq}_{V,W}$, which we define first.

We simultaneously treat symmetric and nonsymmetric W-colored operads with values in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, indicating the modifications necessary for the symmetric case in parentheses. I.e., we write $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}$ to mean either $\mathbf{sOper}$ (symmetric operads) or $\mathbf{Oper}$ (nonsymmetric operads) etc.

Definition 3.1. Given two sets V, W, define the groupoid of *(symmetric) V,W-sequences* as

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{V,W} := (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_V^{\times} \times W,$$

where W denotes a category with objects W and identities as morphisms and $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_V^{\times}$ is the category of functions $s\colon I \to V$, where I is a finite ordered set (respectively, finite unordered set, in the symmetric case) set and morphisms $s \to s'$ are isomorphisms of ordered (respectively unordered) sets $f\colon I \to I'$ such that $s = s'f$. We abbreviate $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W := (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{W,W}$.

The idea is that an object (s,t) in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times} \times W$ encodes multisource s and target $t \in W$. Morphisms in $\mathbf{sSeq}_W^{\times}$ account for the fact that one can permute sources in the symmetric case. In the nonsymmetric variant $\mathbf{Seq}_W^s$, no permutation of multisources is allowed. If $W = \{*\}$, then $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W$ is the category $\mathbf{N}$ of finite ordered sets and identity morphisms (respectively, the category Σ of symmetric sequences, i.e., finite sets and bijections). Their objects can be interpreted as arities. For some $s : I \to W$, we write $\Sigma_s := \mathrm{Aut}_{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times}}(s)$. In the nonsymmetric case this group is trivial. In the symmetric case, there is an isomorphism

$$\Sigma_s = \prod_{w \in W} \Sigma_{s^{-1}(w)}. \tag{3.2}$$

For example, if $W = \{*\}$, then $\Sigma_s = \Sigma_{\sharp I}$.

Given a (symmetric) sequence $X \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W$, we write $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}^W$ for the restriction to objects with empty multisource, i.e., $s\colon \emptyset \to W$. We refer to this by saying that X_0 is concentrated in *degree 0*. We refer to the $X_{s,w}$ with $s\colon I \to W$ satisfying $\sharp I = 1$, $s(i) = w$ as the *unit degrees* and will write $X_{w,w}$ in this case. The remaining components are called the *nonunit degrees*.

Definition 3.3. Given symmetric monoidal categories $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is enriched over $\mathcal{V}$, for a given pair of sets V and W define the categories

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathbf{Fun}((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{V,W}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C})$$

where $\mathbf{Fun}$ denotes the $\mathcal{V}$-enriched category of functors. Set

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{W,W}(\mathcal{C}),$$

which we call the category of *W-colored (symmetric) collections in $\mathcal{C}$*. The category $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ is a monoidal category and the category $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$ is a left module over $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ via the *substitution product*

$$\circ\colon (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C}) \times (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{U,V}(\mathcal{C}) \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{U,W}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{3.4}$$

The substitution product of $F \in \mathbf{sColl}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$ and $G \in \mathbf{sColl}_{U,V}(\mathcal{C})$ can be computed as the left Kan extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{U,V,W} & \xrightarrow{F*G} & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{proj.}}\downarrow & \nearrow_{F\circ G} & \\ (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_U \times W, & & \end{array}$$

where $T_{U,V,W}$ is the category whose objects are quadruples $(u\colon I \to U, v\colon J \to V, w\colon 1 \to W, f\colon I \to J)$, where I and J are finite sets, and morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & I & \xrightarrow{f} & J & & \\ & {\scriptstyle u}\swarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i}\cong & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & \searrow{\scriptstyle v} & \\ U & & & & & & V \\ & {\scriptstyle u'}\nwarrow & & & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle v'} & \\ & & I' & \xrightarrow[f']{} & J' & & \end{array}$$

where i and j are isomorphisms and $w = w'$. The functor $F * G$ sends an object (u,v,w,f) to $F(v,w) \otimes \bigotimes_{p \in J} G(u|_{f^{-1}(p)}, p)$ and a morphism (i,j) to the isomorphism $F(j) \otimes \bigotimes_{p \in J} G(i|_{f^{-1}(p)})$.

The monoidal unit of $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ is the W-colored collection that assigns the monoidal unit $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ to all unit degrees (w,w), $w \in W$ and the initial object of $\mathcal{C}$ to anything else. We denote it by $1[1]$.

See Theorem 10.2 and Remark 11.7 in Gambino and Joyal [GJ14] for additional details. In the notation of Gambino and Joyal $\mathcal{R}$ stands for $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 3.5. For example, for $U = \emptyset$ which is the special case relevant for algebras over colored operads,

$$(F * G)(v, w) = F(v, w) \otimes \bigotimes_{p \in J} G(p)$$

and $(F * G)(j) = F(j) \otimes \mathrm{id}$.

In the case $W = \{*\}$ the substitution product in **sColl** can be expressed concisely using the symmetric smash product $\otimes$ on symmetric sequences, see Kelly [Kel05, §3 and §4]:

$$F \circ G = \int^{m \in \Sigma} F(m) \otimes G^{\otimes m} = \coprod_{m \geq 0} F(m) \otimes_{\Sigma_m} G^{\otimes m}.$$

Recall that a category I is *sifted* if for all finite sets k the diagonal functor $I \to I^k$ is cofinal. Filtered categories are sifted. An example of a sifted category that is not filtered is given by the walking reflexive pair category, consisting of two objects 0 and 1 with two parallel arrows $f, g\colon 0 \to 1$ and another arrow $h\colon 1 \to 0$ such that $fh = gh = \mathrm{id}_1$. Sifted colimits of this type are precisely reflexive coequalizers. Any colimit can be expressed using reflexive coequalizers and coproducts, which explains why reflexive coequalizers appear constantly in constructions involving monoids and algebras over monoids.

Proposition 3.6. *The substitution product (3.4) is associative and unital. Moreover, it is cocontinuous in the first variable and preserves sifted colimits in the second variable. In particular, the substitution product is right closed, i.e., the functor $- \circ G$ has a right adjoint for any G.*

Proof. See [GJ14, Proposition 10.9 and Theorem 14.8]. The bicategory of distributors used there is the opposite of the bicategory of finite sets, symmetric collections (with $\circ$ as the composition) and morphisms of collections. □

We emphasize that the substitution product does not preserve nonsifted colimits in the second variable, for example, coproducts, because the functor $X \mapsto X^{\otimes k}$ in general does not preserve nonsifted colimits. In particular, the substitution product is not left closed. The substitution product is also not braided (in particular, not symmetric). Note that the definition of the associator of $\circ$ in the nonsymmetric case needs $\mathcal{C}$ to be *symmetric* monoidal, see Muro [Mur11, Remark 2.2].

Definition 3.7. The category $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper} := (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ of *W-colored (symmetric) operads in $\mathcal{C}$* is the category of monoids in $((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W\mathcal{C}, \circ)$, i.e., $O \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W\mathcal{C}$ together with a unit map $1[1] \to O$ and a multiplication map $O \circ O \to O$ satisfying the associativity and unitality conditions. For any set V the category of *V-colored (symmetric) modules* over a (symmetric) W-colored operad O is the category of left modules over O in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$. It is denoted by $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$. Explicitly, its objects are given by $M \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$ together with a map $O \circ M \to M$ subject to the standard associativity and unitality requirements. For $V = \emptyset$ and $V = W$, we speak of *O-algebras* and *O-modules*, respectively and denote them by $\mathbf{Alg}_O$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_O$. Note that any O-algebra is naturally an O-module whose non-zero degrees are $\emptyset$.

The following result describes the categorical properties of colored modules over colored operads.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ is a symmetric monoidal category that is enriched over a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$. Fix two sets V and W, and a W-colored (symmetric) operad O in $\mathcal{C}$.*

(i) If $\mathcal{C}$ is complete then so is $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ and the forgetful functor $U\colon \mathbf{Mod}_O^V \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}$ creates limits.

(ii) If $\mathcal{C}$ admits sifted colimits (respectively filtered colimits or reflexive coequalizers), which are preserved in each variable by the monoidal product in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ admits sifted colimits, which are created by U.

(iii) If $\mathcal{C}$ admits reflexive coequalizers, which are preserved in each variable by the monoidal product in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ is cocomplete.

(iv) If $\mathcal{C}$ is locally presentable and $\otimes$ preserves filtered colimits in each variable, then $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ is locally presentable.

(v) Suppose $f\colon O \to P$ is a morphism of W-colored (symmetric) operads in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ admits reflexive coequalizers that are preserved in each variable by the monoidal product in $\mathcal{C}$, then the pullback functor $f^*\colon \mathbf{Mod}_P^V \to \mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ admits a left adjoint f_*.

Proof. Via Proposition 3.6, these statements are reduced to similar statements about modules in (nonsymmetric, nonbraided) monoidal categories. (i), (iv), and (v) are then special cases of [BW05, Theorem 3.4.1], [Bor94b, Theorem 5.5.9], and [Lin69, Corollary 1], respectively.

(ii): [Bor94b, Proposition 4.3.2] implies that $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ has sifted colimits, which are preserved by U. Reflection of sifted colimits by U is then implied by [Bor94a, Proposition 2.9.7] applied to the opposite functor $U^{\mathrm{op}}\colon (\mathbf{Mod}_O^V)^{\mathrm{op}} \to (\mathbf{Mod}_O^V)^{\mathrm{op}}$. The cases of filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers are treated identically.

(iii): By (ii), $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ admits reflexive coequalizers, which are created by U. Now apply [Lin69, Corollary 2], which in our case says that $\mathbf{Mod}_O^V$ has small colimits if it has reflexive coequalizers and $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_{V,W}(\mathcal{C})$ has small coproducts. □

4. The enveloping operad

The enveloping operad (see for example [BM09, Propositions 1.5], [BM03, Proposition 5.4]) turns a module or algebra over an operad back into an operad. This is used to relate properties of operadic algebras to those of operads, for example pushouts (Proposition 5.7) and transports along weak monoidal Quillen adjunctions (see Theorem 8.10(ii) and its proof). We continue using the notation of §3.

Definition 4.1. The category **Pairs** consists of pairs (O, A), where $O \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$ is a (symmetric) W-colored operad in $\mathcal{C}$ and $A \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ is an O-module, and a morphism of pairs $(O, A) \to (P, B)$ is a morphism $f\colon O \to P$ of operads together with a morphism $g\colon A \to f^*B$ of O-modules, where f^* is the restriction functor from P-modules to O-modules.

Lemma 4.2. *There are adjunctions*

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W \underset{U}{\overset{1[1]\times \mathrm{id}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathbf{Pairs} \underset{\mathrm{id}\times U}{\overset{\mathrm{Env}}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W \tag{4.3}$$

The functor $\mathrm{id} \times U$ *sends an operad* O *to* $(O, U(O))$*, where* $U(O)$ *is regarded as an* O*-module in the obvious way. The functor* $1[1] \times \mathrm{id}$ *sends* X *to* $(1[1], X)$*, where* $1[1]$ *is the initial operad. The functor* U *at the left sends* (O, M) *to* $U(M)$*, i.e., it forgets the* O*-module structure on* M*. The functor* Env *is called the* enveloping operad*. It satisfies* $\mathrm{Env}(1[1], X) = \mathrm{Free}(X)$*, where* $\mathrm{Free} : (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W : U$ *is the free-forgetful adjunction.*

Proof. The left adjunction holds since

$$\mathbf{Pairs}((1[1], X), (O, M)) = (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(X, \eta^*M) = (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(X, U(M)).$$

Here $\eta\colon 1[1] \to O$ is the unit of O, which is the unique morphism of operads $1[1] \to O$. The right adjunction is a special case of Theorem 3.8(v) since **Pairs** are algebras over an operad similar to the operad of operads (§9.4). The last statement follows from the two adjunctions. □

Proposition 4.4. *Fix a (symmetric) operad* O *and consider the functor* $\mathrm{Env}(O, -)\colon \mathbf{Mod}_O \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$*. (We also apply this functor to* O*-algebras.)*

(i) The enveloping monoid of the initial O*-algebra is given by* $\mathrm{Env}(O, O \circ \emptyset) = O$*.*

(ii) The enveloping operad functor $\mathrm{Env}(O, -)$ *preserves connected colimits of* O*-algebras, in particular transfinite compositions.*

(iii) Given a map $x\colon X \to X'$ *in* $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$*, an* O*-module* A*, and a map* $X \to U(A)$ *in* $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$*, we form the pushout square in* $\mathbf{Mod}_O$*,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O \circ X & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle O\circ x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ O \circ X' & \longrightarrow & A'. \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Then the following diagram is cocartesian in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$*, where the top horizontal map is* $\mathrm{Free}(X) \overset{4.2}{=} \mathrm{Env}(1[1], X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Env}(\eta, f)} \mathrm{Env}(O, A)$*:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Free}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Free}(U(A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} & \mathrm{Env}(O, A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}(x)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}(u)} & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Free}(X') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Free}(U(A) \sqcup_X X') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Env}(O, A'). \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

(iv) For any $A \in \mathbf{Alg}_O$*, there is an equivalence of categories with the undercategory of* A *in* $\mathbf{Alg}_O$*:*

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)} = A \downarrow \mathbf{Alg}_O.$$

In particular $\mathrm{Env}(O, A)_0 = A$*.*

Proof. (i): For any operad T, we have by adjunction

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathrm{Env}(O, O \otimes \emptyset), T) = \{(f \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}(O, T), g\colon O \circ \emptyset \to f^*U(T) \in \mathbf{Alg}_O)\}.$$

As $O \circ \emptyset$ is initial in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$, g is unique, so that this Hom-set is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(O, T)$. Hence our claim.

(ii): For a connected index category I, O is the colimit of the constant diagram $i \mapsto O$. Therefore,

$$(O, \operatorname{colim} A_i) = \operatorname{colim}(O, A_i).$$

Now apply the cocontinuity of the enveloping operad functor $\mathbf{Pairs} \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}$.

(iii): By Lemma 4.2, the diagram (4.6) is obtained by applying Env to the following diagram of pairs, which is easily seen to be cocartesian. We conclude using that Env preserves all colimits, in particular pushouts.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (1[1], X) & \xrightarrow{(1[1],f)} & (1[1], U(A)) & \xrightarrow{(\eta,\mathrm{id})} & (O, A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (1[1],x)} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (1[1], X') & \longrightarrow & (1[1], U(A) \sqcup_X X')) & \longrightarrow & (O, A' = A \sqcup_{O\circ X} O \circ X'). \end{array}$$

(iv): Since the monoidal product in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W\mathcal{C}$ is right closed, an $\mathrm{Env}(O, A)$-module structure on some $X \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ is the same as a morphism of operads $\mathrm{Env}(O, A) \to \mathrm{End}(X)$, where $\mathrm{End}(X) := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, X) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$ is the endomorphism operad. The adjunction (4.3) tells us that morphisms $\mathrm{Env}(O, A) \to \mathrm{End}(X)$ correspond to morphisms of pairs $(O, A) \to (\mathrm{End}(X), U(\mathrm{End}(X)))$. This is the same as an O-module structure on X and a map $A \to \mathrm{End}(X)$ of O-modules, where $\mathrm{End}(X)$ is regarded as an O-module via the chosen O-module structure on X. Giving $A \to \mathrm{End}(X)$ is the same as $A = A \circ X \to X$. The last equality uses that A is an algebra, i.e., concentrated in degree 0.

The second claim holds since $\mathrm{Env}(O, A)_0 = \mathrm{Env}(O, A) \circ \emptyset$ is the initial $\mathrm{Env}(O, A)$-module, which by the previous step is A. □

5. Admissibility of operads

The following definition of admissibility of operads is standard, see, e.g., [BM09, §2]. Strong admissibility does not seem to have been studied before as an independent notion. See [Man01, Lemma 13.6], [Shi04, Proposition 4.1], and [HH13, Proposition 5.17] for strong admissibility statements for operads in chain complexes, simplicial symmetric spectra, and arbitrary model categories, though.

Definition 5.1. A W-colored (symmetric) operad O in a symmetric monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$ is *admissible* if the product model structure on $\mathcal{C}^W$ transfers to $\mathbf{Alg}_O$ via the forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{C}^W \leftarrow \mathbf{Alg}_O : U,$$

i.e., if the classes $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{Alg}_O} = U^{-1}(\mathrm{W}_{\mathcal{C}^W})$ of weak equivalences and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{Alg}_O} = U^{-1}(\mathrm{F}_{\mathcal{C}^W})$ of fibrations define a model category structure on $\mathbf{Alg}_O$. Moreover, O is *strongly admissible* if it is admissible and if in addition U preserves cofibrations with cofibrant source, i.e., for a cofibration $a\colon A \to A'$ of O-algebras, $U(a)$ is a cofibration and $U(A)$ is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}^W$.

The admissibility of symmetric operads is a central problem in homotopical algebra. It was addressed by Berger and Moerdijk [BM03, Theorem 3.2] using the path object argument. Their theorem requires the existence of a symmetric monoidal fibrant replacement functor and the monoidal unit to be cofibrant. A well-known result due to Lewis [Lew91, Theorem 1.1] precludes the existence of such data for a stable monoidal model category of spectra. The conditions of their theorem were weakened by Kro [Kro07, Corollary 2.7], whose version does not require the monoidal unit to be cofibrant. Previously, Spitzweck had shown the existence of a semi-model structure for operads whose underlying symmetric sequence is projectively cofibrant (which roughly means that Σ_n acts freely on O_n) [Spi01, Theorem 4.7]. This covers the Barratt-Eccles operad, for example, which satisfies $O_n = \mathrm{E}\Sigma_n$, but excludes, say, the commutative operad Comm which is given by $\mathrm{Comm}_n = 1$, the monoidal unit. This is one of the most important examples of a symmetric operad, since its algebras are commutative monoid objects.

The admissibility of Comm, i.e., the model structure on commutative monoid objects in $\mathcal{C}$, was established by Harper [Har09, Proposition 4.20] and Lurie [Lur, Proposition 4.5.4.6] if $\mathcal{C}$ is freely powered. Their proofs actually only use the weaker condition that the map $f^{\square n}_{\Sigma_n}$ is an acyclic cofibration whenever f is. This property was later called the *commutative monoid axiom* by White, who also suggested a weakening similar to the one discussed in Remark 5.12 [Whi14, Theorem 3.2, Remark 3.3].

The admissibility of arbitrary operads was also shown by Harper under the hypothesis that all objects in $\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$ are *projectively* cofibrant. Again this is much stronger than being symmetric h-monoidal (see [PS15, Remark 4.2.10, §7]). Subsequently to the present paper, White and Yau reproduced the admissibility of arbitrary operads under the condition that $X \otimes_{\Sigma_n} f^{\square n}$ is an (acyclic) cofibration when f is [WY15, Theorem 6.1.1]. This is a stronger assumption than symmetric h-monoidality, and is inapplicable to various flavors of spectra (e.g., symmetric, orthogonal, etc.) and other constructions used in stable homotopy theory, e.g., L-spaces.

For *nonsymmetric operads*, the situation is quite a bit simpler, since no modding out by Σ_n occurs in the definition of the circle product on nonsymmetric sequences. Muro has shown the admissibility of all nonsymmetric operads under assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$ [Mur11, Theorem 1.2], [Mur15], which by [PS15, Lemma 3.2.6] are very closely related to the nonsymmetric part of Theorem 5.10 below. See Remark 5.12.

A technical key part in all proofs below is the analysis of pushouts of free O-algebra maps and free operad maps. We will start with pushouts of operads and then deduce the pushouts of algebras from this. The following description of pushouts of free (symmetric) operads is due to Spitzweck [Spi01, Proposition 3.5] and, in the slightly different formulation given below, to Berger and Moerdijk [BM09, Lemma 3.1], [BM03, §5.11].

The description of such pushouts is based on the groupoid $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}_W$ of *W-colored (symmetric) marked trees*. These are finite planar trees whose edges are labeled with colors $w \in W$. The root vertex has a half-open (i.e., having only one boundary vertex) outgoing edge without called the *root edge*. It also has a (finite) number of vertices having half-open ingoing edges called the *input edges*. Any edge that is not a root edge nor an input edge is called an internal edge. Their boundary consists of two vertices. Moreover, a (finite) number of vertices of the tree is *marked*, the others are not marked. The markings is required to be such that every internal edge has at least one marked vertex at its boundary. *Automorphisms* of symmetric trees are isomorphisms of trees which don't respect the planar structure, but do respect the markings, the colors of the edges and send input edges to input edges. Automorphisms of nonsymmetric trees are only identity morphisms. For a vertex r in a tree, the *valency* $\mathrm{val}(r) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W$ is given by (s, w), where the multisource $s\colon I \to W$ is given by the set I of the incoming edges of r, ordered according to the planar structure (which is only needed to make this notion unambiguous) and their corresponding colors, and target w given by the color of the outgoing edge. In a similar vein, the valency $\mathrm{val}(T)$ of the tree is given by the colors of the input edges and the root edge. The subgroupoid of trees with k marked vertices and valency $(s, w) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W$ is denoted $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(k)}_{s,w}$.

Using the notation of Proposition 5.2, the intuitive meaning of these notions is that a tree T with valency (s, w) stands for an operation in O' with inputs given by the multi-source s and target w. Such operations are nested applications of the more elementary operations given by vertices. If T contains no marked vertices, i.e., $k = 0$, then T is just a corolla consisting of a root edge and finitely many input edges, corresponding to the operations that are present in O. More generally, for $k \geq 0$, k operations coming from $\mathrm{Free}(X)$ have been identified by their image in $\mathrm{Free}(X')$.

Proposition 5.2. *(Spitzweck, Berger–Moerdijk) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal model category. For any map $x\colon X \to X'$ in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ and any pushout diagram in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Free}(X) & \longrightarrow & O \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}(x)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle o} \\ \mathrm{Free}(X') & \longrightarrow & O' \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

the map $U(o)_{s,w} \in \Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$ is the transfinite composition of maps $O^{(k)}_{s,w} \to O^{(k+1)}_{s,w}$, for $k \geq 0$, which arise as the following pushouts in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_T \Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T} x^*(T) & \longrightarrow & O^{(k)}_{s,w} \\ {\scriptstyle \coprod_T \Sigma_{s\cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T}\epsilon(T)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_T \Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T} x(T) & \longrightarrow & O^{(k+1)}_{s,w}. \end{array} \tag{5.4}$$

The coproducts run over all isomorphism classes of $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(k)}_{s,w}$ as defined above. For such a tree T, the map $\epsilon(T)\colon x^*(T) \to x(T)$ is inductively defined as

$$\epsilon(T) := \epsilon(r(T)) \square \underbrace{\square_i \epsilon(T_i)^{\square t_i}}_{=:\epsilon'(T)},$$

where $\epsilon(r(T)) \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{val}(r(T))}\mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$\epsilon(r(T)) := \begin{cases} x_{\mathrm{val}(r(T))}, & \text{if } r(T) \text{ is marked;} \\ (\eta_O)_{\mathrm{val}(r(T))}, & \text{if } r(T) \text{ is not marked.} \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\eta_O\colon 1[1] \to U(O)$ is the unit map of O and $\mathrm{val}(r(T))$ is the valency of the root $r(T)$ of T. Isomorphic subtrees (with markings, colors, and input edges induced from T) of the root are grouped together and denoted by T_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$. The number of subtrees isomorphic to T_i is denoted t_i, so that $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$ equals the cardinality of the multisource of $r(T)$. The group

$$\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Aut}(T_i)^{t_i} \rtimes \prod_{i=1}^k \Sigma_{t_i}$$

acts on $\epsilon(r(T))$ via the quotient $\prod \Sigma_{t_i}$ and in the natural way on $\epsilon'(T) \in (\prod \mathrm{Aut}(T_i)^{t_i})\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. This is exactly the statement of Berger and Moerdijk cited above, if we replace $\epsilon(T)$ by $\epsilon_u(T)$, which is defined as above, except that $\epsilon(r(T)) := u_{\mathrm{val}(r(T))}$ if the vertex $r(T)$ is marked, where $u\colon U(O) \to U(O) \sqcup_X X'$ is the pushout of x. We conclude using the pushout square $\Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T} \epsilon(T) \to \Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T} \epsilon_u(T)$ and [PS15, Proposition 3.1.6]. □

Proposition 5.2 has the following model-categorical consequence, which again is due to Spitzweck [Spi01, Lemma 3.6] and, in the form below, to Berger-Moerdijk [BM03, Proposition 5.1]. We will show in Lemma 6.1(i) that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration for any cofibrant operad O, so the corollary is applicable to such pushouts. This will be important in the study of strong admissibility. Recall that $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ is equipped with the projective model structure. Unless the contrary is explicitly stated, all cofibrations in categories of the form $G\mathcal{C}$, for a finite group G, are understood as *projective* cofibrations. (The distinction between injective and projective model structures only matters in the symmetric case, for the category of nonsymmetric collections $\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ is just a product of copies of $\mathcal{C}$.)

Corollary 5.6. *In the situation of Proposition 5.2, suppose that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$. Also suppose that x is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$. Then the vertical maps in (5.4) are cofibrations in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$. Therefore, $U(o)$ is also a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$.*

The following description of pushouts of free O-algebras is due to Fresse [Fre09, Proposition 18.2.11], Elmendorf and Mandell [EM06, §12], Harper [Har09, Proposition 7.12].

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal model category and O a (symmetric) operad. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O\circ X & \longrightarrow & A \\ {\scriptstyle O\circ x}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} \\ O\circ X' & \longrightarrow & A' \end{array} \tag{5.8}$$

be a pushout diagram of O-algebras, where $x\colon X\to X'$ is a map in $\mathcal{C}^W$. For any color $w\in W$, the map $U(a)_w\in\mathcal{C}$ lies in the weak saturation of morphisms of the form

$$\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{s,w}\otimes_{\Sigma_s}\square_{r\in W} x_r^{\square s^{-1}(r)},\quad s\colon I\to W\in(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times},\quad I\neq\emptyset. \tag{5.9}$$

(The pushout product is finite, since I is a finite set.) For example, if W consists of a single color and we consider symmetric operads, $U(a)$ lies in

$$\mathrm{cof}(\{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_n\otimes_{\Sigma_n} x^{\square n},\ n\geq 1\}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.4(iv), the map $U(a)_w$ is the level $(\emptyset,w)$ of $\mathrm{Env}(O,A)\to\mathrm{Env}(O,A')$ which by the pushout diagram (4.6) and the description of pushouts in Proposition 5.2 is a transfinite composition of pushouts of the maps (5.4) (where the O there is now $\mathrm{Env}(O,A)$). The map x is concentrated in degree 0, so the only trees T such that the map $\epsilon(T)$ defined in (5.5) is not an isomorphism are the trees (with valence $(\emptyset,w)$) whose marked vertices have valency 0, i.e., are stumps. Since any internal edge has at least one marked vertex, the only such trees T are corollas whose root is not marked and has valence $(t\colon I\to W,w)$ and whose leaves are marked. We get $\epsilon(T)=\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{t,w}\otimes\square_{i\in I}x_{t(i}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(T)=\Sigma_t$. Hence the left hand vertical map in (5.4) agrees with (5.9). □

The next result identifies (symmetric) h-monoidality as the key condition for admissibility of all (symmetric) operads. We emphasize that symmetric h-monoidality requirement is stable under weak saturation, transfer of model structures and left Bousfield localization (see [PS15, Theorem 4.3.9, Theorem 5.2.6 and Theorem 6.2.2] for the precise statements). Basic examples of symmetric h-monoidal model categories include simplicial sets, simplicial presheaves, topological spaces, chain complexes of rational vector spaces, and symmetric spectra. See [PS15, §7]. Chain complexes of abelian groups are not symmetric h-monoidal and, in fact, the commutative operad is provably not admissible in chain complexes of abelian groups. Recall the definitions of the terms below from Definition 2.1.

Theorem 5.10. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category and W is a set. Furthermore, suppose that either (a) $\mathcal{C}$ is combinatorial and weak equivalences are closed under transfinite compositions or (b) $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly admissibly generated and quasi-tractable. If $\mathcal{C}$ is (symmetric) h-monoidal (the acyclic part is sufficient), then any W-colored (symmetric) operad O in $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible.*

Proof. We apply [Hir03, Theorem 11.3.2] to the adjunction $O\circ-\ :\ \mathcal{C}^W\rightleftarrows\mathbf{Alg}_O\ :\ U$. By Theorem 3.8, U preserves sifted colimits and $\mathbf{Alg}_O$ is complete and cocomplete.

We now show that transfinite compositions of the images under U of cobase changes of elements in $F(J)$ are weak equivalences in $\mathcal{C}^W$. Consider a cocartesian diagram of O-algebras as in (5.8), where $x\colon X\to X'$ is generating acyclic cofibration in $\mathcal{C}^W$ which is also an acyclic (symmetric) h-cofibration. By Proposition 5.7, the morphism $U(a)$ is the (countable) transfinite composition of cobase changes of morphisms

$$\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{s,w}\otimes_{\Sigma_s}\square_{r\in W} x_r^{\square s^{-1}(r)},\qquad s\colon I\to W\in(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times}. \tag{5.11}$$

Here Env is the enveloping operad (Lemma 4.2) and Σ_s is the group of automorphisms of the multi-source s, which is trivial for nonsymmetric operads, and as in (3.2) for symmetric operads. Each of the above morphisms is

a couniversal weak equivalence or, equivalently [BB13, Lemmas 1.6 and 1.8], an acyclic h-cofibration since x is an acyclic (symmetric) h-cofibration, i.e., each x_r is one. Their transfinite composition is again a couniversal weak equivalence: in case (a) by [PS15, Lemma 2.0.6(iii)] and in case (b) since the above weak equivalences lie in the class (2.2), whose transfinite composition is again a weak equivalence as discussed in [PS15, Proposition 7.5.2].

We finally show that $F(I)$ and $F(J)$ permit the small object argument [Hir03, Definition 10.5.15]. If $\mathcal{C}$ is combinatorial, this is tautological since all objects are small. Suppose now that $\mathcal{C}$ is admissibly generated and quasi-tractable. By Definition 2.1, all cofibrant objects, in particular the (co)domains of I are small relative to cell$(-)$ applied to the maps in (5.11) where x is a cofibration. Therefore, they are small relative to $U(\mathrm{cell}(O \circ I))$. By adjunction, the (co)domains of $O \circ I$ are therefore small relative to cell$(O \circ I)$. Again using the quasi-tractability, the same argument shows that $O \circ J$ is small relative to cell$(O \circ I)$, a fortiori relative to cell$(O \circ J)$. □

Remark 5.12. The proof also shows the following statement: suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal category, $\mathcal{C}'$ is a combinatorial (more generally, admissibly generated) and such that $\mathcal{C}'$ is a commutative $\mathcal{C}$-algebra. Finally suppose that for a finite family of generating cofibrations $x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_k}$ in $\mathcal{C}'$, and $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 1$, any object $E \in (\prod_{j=1}^{k} \Sigma_{n_j})\mathcal{C}$, the map

$$E \otimes_{\prod_j \Sigma_{n_j}} \square_j x_{r_j}^{\square n_j} \tag{5.13}$$

lies in a class whose saturation under transfinite composition and pushouts consists of weak equivalences (in $\mathcal{C}'$). Then any W-colored symmetric operad O in $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible, i.e., the O-algebras in $\mathcal{C}'$ carry a transferred model structure. Since the differences are purely grammatical, we omit the proof of this assertion.

The same statement holds for nonsymmetric operads after dropping $\prod \Sigma_{n_j}$ in (5.13). If, in addition, the monoidal product of $\mathcal{C}'$ turns $\mathcal{C}'$ into a monoidal model category it can be further simplified to requiring the above condition only for the maps $E \otimes x$, where $E \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}'$ is a generating acyclic cofibration. This is exactly the monoid axiom [SS00, Definition 3.3], so the above proof reproduces the one of Muro's aforementioned admissibility result of nonsymmetric operads [Mur11, Theorem 1.2], [Mur15].

In particular, the nonacyclic part of (symmetric) h-monoidality is not necessary for the admissibility statement. We mention the nonacyclic part in the definition of (symmetric) h-monoidality, since the combination of the acyclic and the nonacyclic part of (symmetric) h-monoidality is easier to localize. Also, for concrete model categories, it is usually easier to establish both properties simultaneously. For the same reason, we have separated the saturation with respect to transfinite compositions and the one with respect to pushouts (governed by (symmetric) h-monoidality). See [PS15, Theorem 6.2.2(ii), §7] and the remarks at the end of §2.

6. Strong admissibility of operads

In addition to the admissibility of operads it is in practice desirable to know when the forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{C}^W \leftarrow \mathbf{Alg}_O : U$$

preserves cofibrant objects or even cofibrations with cofibrant source, i.e., when O is strongly admissible. We present two results in this direction: Proposition 6.2 is a result for levelwise projectively cofibrant operads. It works in any symmetric monoidal model category. Theorem 6.6 is a much more flexible criterion for levelwise *injectively* cofibrant operads. Here, the additional key condition is the symmetroidality of $\mathcal{C}$.

The following preparatory lemma captures the preservation of cofibrant objects under various forgetful functors. We don't claim originality for this lemma, for example Part (ii) is similar to [BM09, Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 6.1. *With $\mathcal{C}$ and W as before, the following claims hold:*

(i) Let $f\colon O \to O'$ be a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$ such that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$. Then $U(f)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$. In particular:
  (1) For any cofibrant operad O, the unit map $U(\eta_O)\colon 1[1] \to U(O)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$. In other words, the levels $O_{s,w}$ are cofibrant in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{pro}}\mathcal{C}$ for all $s\colon I \to W$ if $\sharp I \neq 1$ or if $\sharp I = 1$ and $s(*) \neq w$ and the unit map $1 \to O_{w,w}$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ for all $w \in W$.
  (2) The forgetful functor U sends cofibrations with cofibrant source to cofibrations.
  (3) If the unit $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ is cofibrant, U also preserves cofibrant objects, i.e., the underlying (symmetric) sequence $U(O) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ of any cofibrant operad O is cofibrant.

(ii) For any (symmetric) operad O, the functor $\mathbf{Alg}_O \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$, $A \mapsto \mathrm{Env}(O, A)$ preserves cofibrations. For example, $O \to \mathrm{Env}(O, A)$ is a cofibration for any cofibrant O-algebra A.

Proof. (i): The map f is a retract of a transfinite composition of pushouts of maps Free(x) as in (5.3), where x is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ and, by assumption and cellular induction, O is such that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration. The functor U commutes with retracts and transfinite compositions. Cofibrations (in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$) are stable under these two types of saturation. Therefore the statement follows from Corollary 5.6, using that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration.

The remaining statements are special cases: (i1) follows by applying the general statement to $\eta_O\colon 1[1] \to O$. (i2) follows by combining the general statement and (i1). Finally, (i3) holds since $1[1]$ is the initial operad, whose underlying symmetric sequence is cofibrant in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ if and only if 1 is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}$.

(ii): The claim about $\mathrm{Env}(O,-)$ follows from Proposition 4.4: if a is a pushout of a free O-algebra map $O\circ x$ on a cofibration $x \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$ as in (5.8), the map $\mathrm{Env}(O,a)\colon \mathrm{Env}(O,A) \to \mathrm{Env}(O,A')$ is the pushout of $\mathrm{Free}(x)$, which is a cofibration (in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W$). For a transfinite composition of cofibrations of O-algebras, we use that both U and $\mathrm{Env}(O,-)$ preserve filtered colimits. By Proposition 4.4(i), the last statement is the special case $a\colon O_0 = O\circ\emptyset \to A$. □

The following result guarantees strong admissibility for those operads whose levels are projectively cofibrant (except for unit degrees, in which case the map from the monoidal unit to the level is required to be a cofibration). The condition that $U(\eta_O)$ be a cofibration has previously been referred to as well-pointedness or Σ-cofibrancy of O [BM03, BM09]. By [Spi01, Theorem 4], any cofibrant operad O is admissible if $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the monoid axiom, so it is strongly admissible in this case by the result below.

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category. Any admissible (symmetric) operad $O \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ such that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ is strongly admissible. For example, any admissible cofibrant operad is strongly admissible.*

Proof. Suppose A is a cofibrant O-algebra, i.e., $a\colon O_0 = O\circ\emptyset \to A$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$. The level 0 of the cofibration $U(\eta_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)})$ is, by Proposition 4.4(iv), $\emptyset \to U(A)$. In other words $U(A)$ is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}^W$. □

The next theorem is a supplementary condition for strong admissibility of arbitrary symmetric operads. Recall from [PS15, §7] that rational chain complexes and symmetric spectra (with an appropriate stable positive model structure) are symmetroidal. The latter statement also shows that under very mild conditions, any monoidal model category is Quillen equivalent to a symmetroidal model category. Moreover, symmetroidality is stable under Bousfield localization and transfer, see [PS15, Theorem 5.2.6 and Theorem 6.2.2] for the precise statements. These results turn Theorem 6.6 into a powerful tool ensuring strong admissibility of operads.

The following lemma is the key stepstone for strong admissibility. In order to keep the exposition brief, we will again speak of "(symmetric) operads" in a symmetric monoidal category to simultaneously cover the case of symmetric and of nonsymmetric operads. Note that in the latter case all the groups Σ_s and $\mathrm{Aut}\,T$ appearing below are trivial by definition.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal model category. Let O be a (symmetric) W-colored operad and A any cofibrant O-algebra. For any $(s\colon I \to W, w) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W$, the levels of the unit map*

$$(\eta_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)})_{s,w}\colon 1[1]_{s,w} \to \mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{s,w}$$

in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$ are contained in $\mathrm{cof}((\mathcal{Y}_O)_s)$, where $(\mathcal{Y}_O)_s$ is the smallest class of morphisms in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$ that contains all isomorphisms, the generating cofibrations of $\mathcal{C}$ (for $\sharp I = 0$ only), and finally contains

$$(\eta_O)_{s\sqcup t,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_t}\, x^{\square t} := (\eta_O)_{s\sqcup t,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_t}\, \square_r x_r^{\square n_r} \qquad (6.4)$$

Here $t\colon J \to W$ is any multi-source and the multi-index n is given by $n_r = \sharp t^{-1}(r)$ for $r \in W$, and $x = (x_r)$ is a finite family of generating cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}$. (We use the convention that only the finitely many terms with $n_r \neq 0$ appear, unless $J = \emptyset$, in which case we interpret the above expression as $(\eta_O)_{s,w}$.)

In particular, for any cofibrant O-algebra A, the map $\emptyset \to U(A) \in \mathcal{C}^W$ is contained in

$$\mathrm{cof}(\mathrm{C}_{\mathcal{C}} \cup \{(\eta_O)_{t,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_t}\, x^{\square t}, (t,w) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W\}).$$

Proof. We prove this by cellular induction on A, using the properties of the enveloping operad established in Proposition 4.4. We will write $\varphi\colon G\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ for any functor that forgets the action of some finite group G, for example $G = \Sigma_s$. For $A = O\circ\emptyset = O_0$, $O = \mathrm{Env}(O, O_0)$ is an isomorphism, so the claim is clear by assumption For a pushout of O-algebras as in (5.8) where A is cofibrant and x is a cofibration, there is a pushout of operads

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Free}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Env}(O,A) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}(x)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle o} \\ \mathrm{Free}(X') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Env}(O,A'). \end{array} \qquad (6.5)$$

We now use Proposition 5.2, including the notation. We need to show

$$\Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\,T} \epsilon(T) \in (\mathcal{Y}_O)_s.$$

By induction on the tree T, one sees that

$$\varphi(\epsilon(T)) = \square_{r\in T} \varphi(\epsilon(r)),$$

where the pushout product runs over all vertices r of T. Recall that $f \,\square\, g$ is an isomorphism for all maps g whenever f is an isomorphism. Hence, it is enough to prove our claim for those trees T such that none of the $\epsilon(r)$'s is an isomorphism.

If a vertex $r \in T$ is marked, then $\epsilon(r) = u_{\mathrm{val}(r)}$, where $u\colon U(\mathrm{Env}(O,A)) \to U(\mathrm{Env}(O,A)) \sqcup_X X'$ is the pushout of x along the map $X \to U(\mathrm{Env}(O,A))$ adjoint to the top horizontal map in (6.5). If r is marked and has positive valency, i.e., $(s,w) := \mathrm{val}(r)$ with a multisource $s\colon I \to W$ of arity $\sharp I > 0$, then $u_{s,w}$, which is a pushout of $x_{s,w} = \mathrm{id}_\emptyset$, is an isomorphism. Thus we may assume that the marked vertices have valency 0, i.e., no incoming edges. On the other hand, by definition of marked trees, any edge contains at most one nonmarked vertex. Therefore, the only trees we need to consider are:

(1) The tree denoted ${}^{w}+$ consisting of a single marked vertex with no incoming edge and the outgoing root edge colored by w.
(2) The trees denoted ${}^{w}-_{s}^{t+}$ consisting of a single nonmarked vertex which has a root edge of color w, some noninput edges whose other end is marked, and some input edges. The valency of the input edges is denoted s, the one of the noninput edges t.

Here is a picture of ${}^{w}+$ and of ${}^{w}-_{s}^{t+}$. The different dashing styles indicate different colors, the two rightmost lower arrows are input edges, the top arrows are the root edges, $\bullet^+$ is a marked vertex, $\bullet^-$ is not marked.



For $T = {}^{w}+$, we have $\Sigma_s = \mathrm{Aut}\, T = 1$ and $\epsilon(T) = x_w$, which is in $\mathcal{Y}_O$ being a cofibration. For $T = {}^{w}-_{s}^{t+}$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \Sigma_s \times \Sigma_t$, where Σ_s and Σ_t are defined in (3.2). In the example above, $\Sigma_t = \Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_s = \Sigma_2$. We group the noninput edges of $\bullet^-$ according to their color, say n_i noninput edges of color t_i. Then

$$\Sigma_s \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\, T} \epsilon(T) = (\eta_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)})_{s\sqcup t,w} \,\square_{\prod \Sigma_{n_i}} \bigsquare_i x_{t_i}^{\square n_i},$$

which is in $\mathcal{Y}_O$ by the inductive hypothesis. This finishes the pushout step.

The handling of retracts and transfinite compositions of cofibrant O-algebras is clear, noting that the functor $\mathbf{Alg}_O \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$, $A \mapsto U(\mathrm{Env}(O,A))$ preserves filtered colimits and retracts.

The claim concerning $U(A)$ is the restriction of the statement about the levels of $\mathrm{Env}(O,A)$ to degree 0. □

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category and O is an admissible (symmetric) W-colored operad in $\mathcal{C}$.*

In the nonsymmetric case, suppose that $(\eta_O)_{s,w} \,\square - \colon \mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ preserves (acyclic) cofibrations.

In the symmetric case, suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetroidal (Definition 2.1) with respect to the class $\mathcal{Y}_O = ((\mathcal{Y}_O)_n)$ consisting of

$$(\mathcal{Y}_O)_n := \bigcup_{(s,w)} (\mathcal{Y}_O)_s,$$

where as above s is such that $n_r = \sharp s^{-1}(r)$ (for $r \in W$), $w \in W$ is arbitrary, and $(\mathcal{Y}_O)_s$ is the class of morphisms in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$ defined in Lemma 6.3.

Then O is strongly admissible.

For example, if $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetroidal (i.e., symmetroidal with respect to the injective cofibrations in $\Sigma_n\mathcal{C}$) and the unit map $U(\eta_O)\colon 1[1] \to U(O)$ is an injective *cofibration (i.e., $O_{s,w}$ is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}$ for all nonunit degrees (s,w) and $1 \to O_{w,w}$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$), then O is strongly admissible.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the maps in (5.9) are cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^W$ for any cofibrant O-algebra A and any cofibration x in $\mathcal{C}^W$.

To show this in the symmetric case, by the symmetroidality condition on $\mathcal{C}$ and [PS15, Lemma 4.3.2], which allows to weakly saturate the symmetroidality class, we have to show that the map

$$(\eta_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)})_{s,w}\colon 1[1]_{s,w} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{unit degrees;} \\ \emptyset, & \text{nonunit degrees.} \end{cases} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{s,w}$$

lies (levelwise) in $(\mathcal{Y}_O)_s$. For unit degrees $(s,w) = (w,w)$, this guarantees that $\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_{w,w} \otimes x$ is a cofibration by Lemma 8.4(i). This is exactly the content of Lemma 6.3.

In the nonsymmetric case, the argument is similar, but considerably easier since Σ_s is trivial: if the pushout product with $(\eta_O)_{s,w}$ preserves (acyclic) cofibrations, then so does the pushout product with the maps in (6.4) and therefore also the pushout product with $(\eta_{\mathrm{Env}(O,A)})_{s,w}$. Again, this implies that the maps in (5.9) are cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}^W$.

The last statement is a special case: let $\mathcal{C}$ be symmetroidal, i.e., symmetroidal with respect to $\mathcal{Y}_n := \mathrm{cofib}_{\Sigma_n^{\mathrm{in}}\mathcal{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_n \supseteq (\mathcal{Y}_O)_n$: indeed, the maps in (6.4) are injective cofibrations by the symmetroidality of $\mathcal{C}$. □

The following corollary illustrates how to transfer the strong admissibility of operads. Note that the symmetroidality of $\mathcal{C}$ does *not* imply the symmetroidality of $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., the symmetroidality with respect to $\mathrm{cofib}_{\Sigma_n^{\mathrm{in}}\mathcal{D}}$, but only the symmetroidality with respect to $F(\mathrm{cofib}_{\Sigma_n^{\mathrm{in}}\mathcal{C}})$. See [PS15, Theorem 5.2.6(iii) and Remark 5.2.7].

Corollary 6.7. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a Quillen adjunction of symmetric monoidal model categories such that the model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ is transferred from $\mathcal{C}$ and such that F is strong symmetric monoidal. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetroidal (only required in the symmetric case) and let O be a (symmetric) operad in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $U(\eta_O)$ is an injective cofibration in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$. Let P be the operad in $\mathcal{D}$ given by $P_{s,w} = F(O_{s,w})$. We assume P is admissible. Then P is strongly admissible.*

Proof. The strong monoidality of F gives the strong monoidality of the left adjoint in the adjunction $F : ((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C}), \circ) \rightleftarrows ((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{D}), \circ) : G$. The resulting adjunction of monoids, i.e., W-colored operads (see also (8.11) below)

$$F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}} : (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{D}) : G$$

is therefore such that $U_{\mathcal{D}}F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}} = FU_{\mathcal{C}}$, where $U_? : \mathbf{sColl}_W(?) \to ?$ are the forgetful functors. Therefore, P as defined above, is indeed an operad.

As in the proof of Theorem 6.6, we have to show that $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathcal{Y}_P$-symmetroidal. The generating cofibrations y of $\mathcal{D}$ are of the form $y = F(x)$, $x \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The (levels of) $U(\eta_P)$ are of the form $FU(\eta_O)$. Finally, using the notation of (6.4),

$$F((\eta_O)_{t,w} \square_{\Sigma_t} \square x^{\square t}) = (\eta_P)_{t,w} \square_{\Sigma_t} \square y^{\square t}$$

by the strong monoidality of F. Consequently, $\mathcal{Y}_P$ is contained in $F(\mathcal{Y}_O)$. By [PS15, Theorem 5.2.6(iii)], $\mathcal{D}$ is $F(\mathcal{Y}_O)$-symmetroidal, so we are done. □

7. Rectification of algebras over operads

In this section we use the model structures on modules and algebras over colored operads constructed in the previous section to prove a general operadic rectification result. Rectification theorems address the following question: given a weak equivalence $P \to Q$ of admissible (symmetric) operads, when are their model categories of algebras Quillen equivalent?

An early rectification for symmetric operads is due to Hinich [Hin97] in the category $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$, where R is a commutative ring containing $\mathbf{Q}$. In a similar vein, Harper [Har10, Theorem 1.4] showed rectification under the assumption that every symmetric sequence is projectively cofibrant. Lurie [Lur, Theorem 4.5.4.7] showed rectification of E_∞-algebras to commutative algebras (using the language of ∞-operads). All three results have in common that the model category is required to be freely powered [Lur, Definition 4.5.4.2].

Another class of rectification results applies to symmetric spectra with values in some model category $\mathcal{C}$. For individual model categories, such as $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Top}$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{sSet}$ and motivic spaces, rectification is due to Elmendorf and Mandell [EM06, Theorem 1.3], Harper [Har09, Theorem 1.4], and Hornbostel [Hor13], respectively. For spectra in an abstract model category $\mathcal{C}$, Gorchinskiy and Guletskiĭ [GG11, Theorem 11] have shown an important special case of symmetric flatness. We show in [PS14, Theorem 3.3.4] that the stable positive model structure on symmetric spectra in (essentially) any model category $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric flat and give several applications of this fact.

For nonsymmetric operads, Muro [Mur11, Theorem 1.3] has shown a rectification result for a weak equivalence between levelwise cofibrant operads, under similar assumptions to the ones of Theorem 7.5.

Our rectification result, Theorem 7.5, identifies (symmetric) flatness as a necessary and sufficient condition for the rectification of algebras over (symmetric) colored operads. It extends the first group of the above-mentioned results since being freely powered is a much stronger condition than being symmetric flat. It also covers the second group of results since the assumptions of 7.5 are satisfied for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Top}$ etc., see [PS15, §7].

We finish this section with Theorem 7.10, a rectification result relating operadic algebras in the strict sense and in the ∞-categorical sense introduced by Lurie.

Theorem 7.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is (symmetric) h-monoidal, symmetric monoidal model category which is (a) strongly admissibly generated, or (b) whose weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits. Let g be a weak equivalence in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$.*

(i) If g is (symmetric) flat in $\mathcal{C}$ (Definition 2.1), then g is pseudoflat on the $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W$-module $\mathcal{C}^W$, meaning $g \,\square\, b$ is a weak equivalence for any cofibration with cofibrant domain $b\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}^W$, where $\square$ denotes the pushout product of morphisms in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$).

(ii) If $g \circ X$ is a weak equivalence for any cofibrant object X in $\mathcal{C}^W$, then g is (symmetric) flat in $\mathcal{C}$, provided that the coproduct functor reflects weak equivalences and that $\mathcal{C}$ is quasi-tractable.

Proof. Recall the multiindex conventions explained in §2. By definition,

$$(g \,\square\, b)_w = \coprod_{s \in \pi_0((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times})} \underbrace{g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_s} \bigotimes_{r \in W} b_r^{\otimes s^{-1}(r)}}_{=:\lambda_s}. \tag{7.2}$$

(sic, *not* $\square_{r\in W} b_r^{\square s^{-1}(r)}$). The coproduct is taken in the category $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ and runs over all isomorphism classes in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W^{\times}$ and Σ_s is the group of automorphisms of some representative of this isomorphism class. Recall that Σ_s is trivial in the nonsymmetric case. In the symmetric case, an isomorphism class amounts to specifying the number of occurrences of each color $r \in W$, and Σ_s is as in (3.2).

We define a multiindex n by $n_r := \sharp s^{-1}(r)$ and set $m_k := \Sigma_n \cdot_{\Sigma_{n-k}\times\Sigma_k} X^{\otimes n-k} \otimes b^{\square k}$ for $0 \le k \le n$. By [PS15, Lemma 4.3.6], applied to the composition $\emptyset \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{b} Y$, the map $b^{\otimes n}$ is the (finite) composition of pushouts of the maps m_k, where $1 \le k < n$ and m_n (which is not pushed out). By [PS15, Proposition 3.1.6, Lemma 3.1.7], λ_s is therefore the composition of pushouts of

$$g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_s}\, m_k. \tag{7.3}$$

(i): We claim that λ_s appearing in (7.2) is a weak equivalence with h-cofibrant (co)domains. Recall that an h-cofibrant object X is such that $\emptyset \to X$ is an h-cofibration. Weak equivalences with h-cofibrant (co)domains are stable under finite coproducts [BB13, Lemma 1.4(a)]. Presenting (7.2) as the filtered colimit over all finite subsets of the indexing set and using the assumption (b), the claim implies (i). For assumption (a), we use that the transition maps in the filtered diagram are cobase changes of morphisms of the form $\emptyset \to \lambda_s$, which in their own turn can be presented as a composition of maps of the form (2.2).

To show the claim, we focus on the symmetric case and briefly explain the simpler argument in the nonsymmetric case. By [PS15, Lemma 3.2.7] (more precisely, replace $\square$ by $\square_{\Sigma_s}$ there), for λ_s to be a weak equivalence it is enough to show that the maps in (7.3) are weak equivalences and that $(\mathrm{co})\mathrm{dom}(g_{s,w}) \otimes_{\Sigma_s} m_k$ is an h-cofibration. The former holds by symmetric flatness, the latter holds by symmetric h-monoidality, using in both cases the cofibrancy of the (co)domains of b_r.

We now show that $(\mathrm{co})\mathrm{dom}(\lambda_s)$ is an h-cofibrant object. Writing $g_{s,w}\colon A \to B$, this is clear for $\mathrm{codom}(\lambda_s) = B \otimes_{\Sigma_s} Y^{\otimes n}$ which is h-cofibrant by symmetric h-monoidality, using the cofibrancy of Y_r. For the domain of λ_s we first observe that $B \otimes_{\Sigma_s} X^{\otimes n}$ is h-cofibrant. The map from this object to $\mathrm{dom}(\lambda_s)$ is a cobase change of the map $A \otimes_{\Sigma_s} b^{\otimes n}$. Again using the above filtration, this map is a composition of pushouts of the maps $A \otimes_{\Sigma_s} m_k$, which are h-cofibrations by symmetric h-monoidality, using the cofibrancy of X. Since h-cofibrations are stable under pushout and composition [BB13, Lemma 1.3], this shows the claim.

(ii): First, observe that $g \,\square\, b$ is a weak equivalence for any cofibration with cofibrant source $b\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}^W$. Indeed, it suffices to show that $A \circ b$ is an h-cofibration, where $A = \mathrm{dom}(g)$, which follows from symmetric h-monoidality and stability of h-cofibrations under colimits of chains [PS15, Lemma 2.0.6(iii)]. Indeed, in this case the pushout of $A \circ b$ along $g \circ X$ is a homotopy pushout since $\mathcal{C}$ is left proper, so that $g \,\square\, b$ is a weak equivalence by the 2-out-of-3 axiom. The coproduct in (7.2) is a weak equivalence, hence so are the λ_s because the coproduct functor reflects weak equivalences. Now we use the filtration (7.3) and show by induction on n that the map $g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_m\times\Sigma_s} (X^{\otimes m} \otimes b^{\square n})$ in the definition of symmetric flatness is a weak equivalence for any cofibration b with cofibrant source X and any $m \ge 0$. The case $m = 0$ then gives the symmetric flatness of g relative to b.

The case $n = 0$ is true by assumption (recall that X is assumed to be cofibrant). For $n \neq 0$ consider the filtration (7.3) (tensored with $X^{\otimes m}$) of the map $g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_m\times\Sigma_s} X^{\otimes m} \otimes b^{\otimes n}$, which is a weak equivalence by assumption (extended to morphisms as explained in the previous paragraph). For $k \neq n$ the term $g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_m\times\Sigma_s} X^{\otimes m} \otimes m_k = g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_m\times\Sigma_{n-k}\times\Sigma_k} X^{\otimes m+(n-k)} \otimes b^{\otimes k}$ is a weak equivalence by the inductive assumption, and the argument in the previous part shows that its cobase change is a weak equivalence. Thus the remaining map in the filtration, $g_{s,w} \,\square_{\Sigma_m\times\Sigma_s} X^{\otimes m} \otimes b^{\square n}$ (we set $k = n$), is also a weak equivalence, as desired.

We have established the symmetric flatness property for the class of cofibrations with cofibrant source. Quasitractability and the weak saturation property for symmetric flatness [PS15, Theorem 4.3.9(ii)] imply the full symmetric flatness property. □

Remark 7.4. In the situation of Theorem 7.1, similar arguments show that for any weak equivalence f in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$ and any cofibrant object $B \in \mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$, $f \circ B$ is a weak equivalence. For simplicity of notation, we only consider the uncolored case: then $B = \coprod_{n\ge 0} G_n(A_n)$, where G_n places A_n in degree n. Using the fact that $\circ$ preserves filtered colimits in its second variable and the stability of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{C}$, hence $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$, under filtered colimits, we may assume that B is concentrated in finitely many degrees.

So let $B = \coprod_{i=1}^{k} G_{n_i}(A_i)$ (finite coproduct), where $A_i \in \Sigma_{n_i}\mathcal{C}$ is a projectively cofibrant object. The standard formula for multinomial coefficients takes the following form, where $A_i \in \Sigma_{n_i}\mathcal{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $k \ge 0$.

$$G_m(f) \circ \left(\coprod_i G_{n_i}(A_i)\right) = \coprod G_{nm}\left(\Sigma_{nm} \cdot_{\Sigma_m \wr \Sigma_n^m} f \otimes A^{\otimes m}\right).$$

The coproduct runs over all partitions $m = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$. The multi-index $(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ will also be denoted by m and likewise for n. In line with the notation in §2, we write $mn = \sum m_i n_i$, and $\Sigma_n^m := \prod \Sigma_{n_i}^{\times m_i}$. The notation mn, Σ_m and Σ_n^m is understood as in [PS15, Definition 4.2.1]. Moreover, $A^{\otimes m}$ stands for $\bigotimes_i A_i^{\otimes m_i}$. By [PS15, Lemma 4.1.2], there is an isomorphism of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ (i.e., disregarding the action of Σ_{nm}),

$$\Sigma_{nm} \cdot_{\Sigma_m \wr \Sigma_n^m} f \otimes A^{\otimes m} \cong \left(f \otimes_{\Sigma_{m'}} A^{\otimes m'} \right) \otimes \left(\frac{\Sigma_{nm''}}{\prod \Sigma_{m''} \wr \Sigma_n^{m''}} \cdot A^{\otimes m''} \right).$$

Here m' is the subindex of m consisting of those indices m_i where $n_i = 0$ and m'' are the remaining ones. Similarly as above $\Sigma_{nm''} := \prod \Sigma_{n_j m_j''}$ etc. The right factor involving the A_j is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}$ by the pushout product axiom. The left factor is a weak equivalence by the symmetric flatness of $\mathcal{C}$. Our claim now follows from the (nonsymmetric) flatness.

The following theorem addresses the question of *Quillen invariance* [SS03, Definition 3.11], also referred to as *rectification*, *rigidification*, or *strictification*, i.e., when a weak equivalence of (admissible) operads induces a Quillen equivalence of algebras.

Theorem 7.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a quasi-tractable symmetric monoidal model category such that (a) weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits or (b) $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly admissibly generated. Given a weak equivalence $f\colon O \to P$ of admissible (symmetric) W-colored operads in $\mathcal{C}$, the induced Quillen adjunction*

$$f_* : \mathbf{Alg}_O \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_P : f^*$$

of the corresponding categories of algebras is a Quillen equivalence if and only if $f \circ A$ is a weak equivalence for any cofibrant object A in $\mathcal{C}^W$. This condition is satisfied if $\mathcal{C}$ is (symmetric) flat with respect to f and (symmetric) h-monoidal (Theorem 7.1). If the coproduct functor reflects weak equivalences (e.g., the model category is pointed, or we work with simplicial sets or topological spaces), then the opposite is true: if the above adjunction is a Quillen equivalence, then $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric flat with respect to f.

Proof. The adjunction exists by Theorem 3.8(v). It is a Quillen adjunction since f^* preserves (acyclic) fibrations. By [Hir03, Definition 8.5.20] we have to show that a morphism $f_*A \xrightarrow{a} B$ is a weak equivalence if and only if its adjoint, i.e., the composition $A \xrightarrow{\eta} f^*f_*A \xrightarrow{f^*a} f^*B$, is a weak equivalence for any cofibrant object A in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$ and any fibrant object B in $\mathbf{Alg}_P$. The functor f^* preserves weak equivalences because both model structures are transferred from $\mathcal{C}^W$, thus it remains to prove that η is a weak equivalence or, equivalently, that the canonical morphism $U(A) \to U(f_*A)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}^W$.

As usual, we perform a cofibration induction for A. Cofibrant objects in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$ are retracts of cellular objects and the latter are obtained as codomains of transfinite compositions of cobase changes of generating cofibrations, starting with the initial O-algebra.

Given a transfinite composition $S = \operatorname{colim} S_i$ in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$, the map $U(S) \to U(f_*S)$ is a weak equivalence if all maps $U(S_i) \to U(f_*S_i)$ are weak equivalences because U creates filtered colimits and weak equivalences in $\mathcal{C}^W$ are stable under filtered colimits by assumption (a). In case (b), we additionally use that the transition maps $U(S_i) \to U(S_{i+1})$ and similarly with f_*S_i are transfinite compositions of cobase changes of maps of the form in (2.2), as witnessed by the filtration (5.9).

To prove the induction step, we consider a cocartesian square of O-algebras as in (5.8) where $X \to X'$ is a cofibration between cofibrant (by quasitractability) objects in $\mathcal{C}^W$. The vertical maps in (5.8) are cofibrations in $\mathbf{Alg}_O$. Applying the left Quillen functor f_* to this square gives a cocartesian square of P-algebras whose vertical maps are again cofibrations and all three objects are cofibrant. Thus both cocartesian squares are also homotopy cocartesian [Lur09, Proposition A.2.4.4]. Furthermore, applying the functor U we obtain a natural transformation between the images of these squares, whose component $U(A) \to U(f_*A)$ is a weak equivalence by induction and the other two components are the maps $O \circ X \to P \circ X$ and $O \circ X' \to P \circ X'$, which are weak equivalences by assumption. Hence the three components of the original natural transformation are also weak equivalences because U creates weak equivalences. Thus the map $A' \to f_*(A')$ is also a weak equivalence because homotopy pushouts preserve weak equivalences.

Finally, the flatness condition is necessary because the map $f \circ A$ is the map $U(X) \to U(f_*X)$ for the cofibrant object $X = O \circ A$. The latter map is the underlying map of the (derived) unit map of X, which must be a weak equivalence for any Quillen equivalence. □

Remark 7.6. Theorem 7.5 is also true for modules (as opposed to algebras) over weakly equivalent operads. This follows from Remark 7.4.

Remark 7.7. Rectification also holds in a slightly more general context (cf. Remark 5.12): $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal model category, $\mathcal{C}'$ is a quasi-tractable model category whose weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits and that is a $\mathcal{C}$-algebra (in the symmetric case, a commutative $\mathcal{C}$-algebra). Finally suppose $\mathcal{C}'$ is (symmetric) flat as an algebra (respectively, commutative algebra) over $\mathcal{C}$ (again using an obvious extension of Definition 2.1). Then any weak equivalence of W-colored admissible operads $O \to P$ in $\mathcal{C}$ yields a Quillen equivalence of their algebras in $\mathcal{C}'$.

We finish this section by establishing a quasicategorical rectification result, which generalizes [Lur, Theorem 4.5.4.7] to the case of arbitrary symmetric quasicategorical operads (as opposed to just the commutative operad) and uses conditions that are significantly weaker than freely poweredness. The following proposition and theorem, as well as the fact that the former is relevant for the latter, were suggested to the first author by Thomas Nikolaus. Our proofs are quite similar to that of Lurie in [Lur], the most noticeable difference being the usage of notions of strong admissibility and symmetric flatness. In particular, strong admissibility allows us to give a rather concise proof of the preservation of cofibrant objects in the following proposition.

Proposition 7.8. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched cofibrantly generated symmetric monoidal model category and O is a symmetric colored operad in $\mathcal{V}$ that is admissible in $\mathcal{C}$. If the unit map $\eta_O\colon 1[1] \to O$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$ then the forgetful functor $U\colon \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ creates (i.e., preserves and reflects) homotopy sifted colimits.*

Remark 7.9. We remind the reader that the notion of a sifted homotopy colimit is stronger than that of a sifted colimit. For example, the reflexive coequalizer diagram is sifted but not homotopy sifted [Ros07, Remark 4.5.(e)]. This is unlike the filtered case, where both notions coincide for ordinary categories.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [Lur, Lemma 4.5.4.12]. The functor U creates weak equivalences, so the reflection property is implied by the preservation property. Denote by I an arbitrary homotopy sifted small category, such as Δ^{op}. We have a (strictly) commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}} & \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle V} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle U} \\ \mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{colim}} & \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

where V is also a forgetful functor. Preservation of homotopy colimits means that the diagram commutes up to a weak equivalence after we derive it. Both U and V are automatically derived because they preserve weak equivalences. We endow $\mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}))$ with the projective model structure (with respect to I) and the transferred model structure on $\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})$, which exists by assumption. Note that this model structure is the same as the model structure transferred from the projective model structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})$, if we regard O as an I-constant operad in $\mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})$. Indeed, both model structures are transferred twice: once for the functor category, and the other time for operadic algebras, and it doesn't matter in which order to transfer.

The top colim (hence also $U \circ \mathrm{colim}$) can be derived by performing a cofibrant replacement in the source category. If V preserves cofibrant objects, then it can also be derived in this way, which proves the desired commutativity. To show that V preserves cofibrant objects, we observe that V can be rewritten as the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})) \to \mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})$. It preserves cofibrant objects since O is strongly admissible in $\mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})$ by Proposition 6.2. □

We are now ready to state the conditions under which every quasicategorical algebra over a quasicategorical operad corresponding to a strict colored symmetric operad can be rectified to a strict algebra over the strict operad. We state the theorem for the simplicial case, because a detailed writeup of quasicategorical operads is only available in this setting, however, the proof holds more generally as indicated in the remark below. This extends results of Lurie [Lur, Theorems 4.1.4.4, 4.5.4.7] for the associative operad and the commutative operad, Haugseng [Hau13, Theorem 2.16] for arbitrary nonsymmetric operads and Hinich [Hin13, Theorem 4.1.1] for symmetric operads in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$.

Theorem 7.10. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial symmetric monoidal model category and O is a $\mathcal{C}$-admissible simplicial symmetric colored operad. Denote by $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})}$ the full subcategories spanned by the corresponding classes of cofibrant objects. The canonical comparison functor*

$$\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})})[\mathrm{W}^{-1}_{\mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C})}] \to \mathbf{HAlg}_{\mathrm{N}^{\otimes}O}(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathcal{C}})[\mathrm{W}_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}])$$

is an equivalence of quasicategories if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric flat (Definition 2.1) with respect to $\mathrm{Q}O \to O$, the levelwise projective cofibrant replacement of the underlying symmetric sequence of O. Here **HAlg** *is used in the sense of Definition 2.1.3.1 (denoted by* **Alg** *there) in Lurie* [Lur] *and $\mathrm{N}^{\otimes}O$ denote the operadic nerve of O, as explained in Definition 2.1.1.23 there.*

Remark 7.11. If O is nonsymmetric, projective cofibrancy can be replaced by injective cofibrancy (tautologically true for simplicial sets) because we don't have to mod out symmetric group actions. Thus the condition of symmetric flatness can be dropped and every nonsymmetric simplicial colored operad admits quasicategorical rectification.

Proof. The symmetric sequence $\mathrm{Q}O$ can be constructed by taking the levelwise product of the Barratt—Eccles operad E_∞ and O, which in fact gives us an operad and not just a symmetric sequence. The individual levels have a free action of the symmetric group and therefore are projectively cofibrant. (Note here that the levels

of O are injectively cofibrant, since any simplicial set is cofibrant.) They are weakly equivalent to those of O because simplicial sets are flat and every simplicial set is cofibrant.

The morphism $\mathrm{Q}O \to O$ induces an equivalence of the quasicategories of algebras over $\mathrm{N}^{\otimes}\mathrm{Q}O$ and $\mathrm{N}^{\otimes}O$, and below we will prove that the comparison functor is an equivalence of quasicategories for $\mathrm{Q}O$, so by the 2-out-of-3 property for equivalences of quasicategories the main statement is equivalent to $\mathrm{Q}O \to O$ inducing a Quillen equivalence, which by Theorem 7.5 is equivalent to symmetric flatness over $\mathrm{Q}O \to O$. It remains to show that the comparison map is an equivalence of quasicategories when O is levelwise projectively cofibrant.

The rest of the proof coincides with the proof of [Lur, Theorem 4.5.4.7] (modified in the obvious fashion for colored operads instead of the commutative operad), with the following modifications: for the part (d) (preservation of homotopy colimits of simplicial diagrams) we use Proposition 7.8, whereas for part (e) we have to establish that the free (strict) O-algebra on a cofibrant object $C \in \mathcal{C}^W$ is also the free quasicategorical O-algebra in the sense of [Lur, Definition 3.1.3.1]. Using Proposition 3.1.3.13 there this reduces to proving that the free O-algebra $O \circ C = \coprod_{n\geq 0} O_n \otimes_{\Sigma_n} C^{\otimes n}$ is also the derived free O-algebra. By assumption O is levelwise projectively cofibrant, so the individual terms in the coproduct are cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}^W$ and compute the corresponding derived tensor product. Coproducts of cofibrant objects are also homotopy coproducts, which concludes the proof. □

Remark 7.12. The same proof works (and therefore the theorem holds) for enriched quasicategorical operads as soon as one has the obvious analog of [Lur, Proposition 3.1.3.13]. We refer the reader to the upcoming work of Haugseng on enriched quasicategorical operads for the case of an arbitrary enriching symmetric monoidal quasicategory.

8. Transport of operads and operadic algebras

This section gives an answer to the following important question: When does a Quillen equivalence $\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}$ of symmetric monoidal model categories induce a Quillen equivalence of (symmetric) operads and their algebras? The first result in this direction, for monoids and modules over monoids, is due to Schwede and Shipley [SS03, Theorem 3.12]. This was generalized to nonsymmetric operads and their algebras by Muro [Mur14, Theorem 1.1, 1.5], [Mur15]. In both statements, the monoidal unit was assumed to be cofibrant. This assumption, however, is not satisfied in the very interesting stable positive model structure on symmetric spectra [PS14, Theorem 3.3.4], so we pay special attention to not assuming the cofibrancy of the monoidal unit 1. For example, Lemma 8.5, which governs certain cofibrant replacements, is trivial if 1 is cofibrant.

Definition 8.1. [SS03, Definition 3.6] An adjunction between symmetric monoidal categories

$$F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{D} : G \tag{8.2}$$

is a *(symmetric) oplax-lax adjunction* if G is symmetric lax monoidal (see, for example, [Bor94b, Definition 6.4.1]). It is a *weak symmetric monoidal Quillen adjunction* if in addition the oplax structural maps of F induced from the lax structure of G,

$$F(\mathrm{Q}1_{\mathcal{C}}) \to 1_{\mathcal{D}},$$
$$F(C \otimes C') \to F(C) \otimes F(C').$$

are weak equivalences for all cofibrant objects $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 8.3. An object A in a monoidal model category is *monoidally cofibrant* if there is a cofibration $1 \to A$ from the monoidal unit to A.

As far as their monoidal properties are concerned, monoidally cofibrant objects behave like cofibrant objects, as is illustrated by the following lemmas:

Lemma 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal model category.*

(i) If B is monoidally cofibrant, then $- \otimes B \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a left Quillen functor. (Thus monoidally cofibrant objects are pseudocofibrant in the sense of Muro [Mur14, Appendix A]*.)*

(ii) If $a \colon A \to A'$ and $b \colon B \to B'$ are two cofibrations with monoidally cofibrant source, then so is $a \,\square\, b$. If either A or B is cofibrant, then $a \boxdot b$ is also cofibrant.

Proof. (i): Pick a cofibration $\eta \colon 1 \to B$. For any (acyclic) cofibration a, the map $a \otimes B$ is the composition of a pushout of $a = a \otimes 1$ and $a \,\square\, \eta$. Both are (acyclic) cofibrations.

(ii): By (i), $A \otimes B$ is monoidally cofibrant and $a \otimes B$ and $A \otimes b$ are cofibrations. Hence $a \boxdot b := \mathrm{dom}(a \,\square\, b)$ is monoidally cofibrant as well. If, say, A is cofibrant, then $\emptyset \to A \xrightarrow{A\otimes\eta} A \otimes B \to a \boxdot b$ is a composition of cofibrations. □

Lemma 8.5. *Let A and B be two cofibrant or monoidally cofibrant objects in a quasi-tractable monoidal model category satisfying the unit axiom, i.e., $\mathrm{Q}(1) \otimes C \sim C$ for all cofibrant objects C. Also assume that (a) weak*

equivalences are stable under filtered colimits or (b) $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly admissibly generated. Then the following map is a weak equivalence:

$$\mathrm{Q}(A) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \to A \otimes B.$$

Proof. If A and B are cofibrant, the claim is clear. We now show the statement if B is cofibrant and A is monoidally cofibrant.

The cofibration $1 \to A$ is a retract of a transfinite composition of maps $A_0 = 1 \to \cdots \to A_\infty = A$ where each $a_n \colon A_n \to A_{n+1}$ is the pushout of a generating cofibration $s \colon S \to S'$. We write $E_n \colon s \to a_n$ for the pushout square. The functor $- \otimes B$ is a left Quillen functor by Lemma 8.4(i). In particular, it preserves cofibrations, so that $E_n \otimes B$ is a pushout of a cofibration between cofibrant objects along a map with cofibrant target $A_n \otimes B$ (which holds by induction, starting with $A_0 \otimes B = B$). Hence it is a homotopy pushout square. Similarly, $\mathrm{Q}(E_n)$ is a pushout one of whose legs is a cofibration, and all objects in the square are cofibrant. Hence $\mathrm{Q}(E_n) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B)$ is also a homotopy pushout square. In the natural transformation of homotopy pushout squares

$$\mathrm{Q}(E_n) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \longrightarrow E_n \otimes B$$

the two left maps in the depth direction are

$$\mathrm{Q}(S) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} S \otimes B, \tag{8.6}$$

since $\mathrm{Q}(S) \to S$ is a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects and similarly for B. (Only at this point we are using the cofibrancy of B.) The same works for S'. The third map is

$$\mathrm{Q}(A_n) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \to A_n \otimes B \tag{8.7}$$

which by induction on n is a weak equivalence, starting for $n = 0$ with the weak equivalence

$$\mathrm{Q}(1) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \sim 1 \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) = \mathrm{Q}(B) \sim B$$

given by the unit axiom. Thus, the fourth map in the cube, $\mathrm{Q}(A_{n+1}) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \to A_{n+1} \otimes B$, is a weak equivalence. Thus, for all $n < \infty$, (8.7) is a weak equivalence. In other words, $\mathrm{Q}(A_n) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B)$ is a cofibrant replacement of $A_n \otimes B$. Then $\mathrm{Q}(A_\infty) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \sim \operatorname{colim} \mathrm{Q}(A_n) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \sim \operatorname{colim} A_n \otimes B = A_\infty \otimes B$, using that weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits by assumption and the preservation of filtered colimits by $\otimes$. In case (b) we additionally use that the transition maps are cobase changes of generating cofibrations tensored with a fixed object, hence in the class (2.2). We have shown the claim if B is cofibrant.

If B is merely monoidally cofibrant, we run the same argument again, noting that for a cofibrant object S, the weak equivalence $\mathrm{Q}(S) \otimes \mathrm{Q}(B) \sim S \otimes B$ used in (8.6) above is a weak equivalence by the previous step. □

The following variant can be proved using the same technique as Lemma 8.5. The left properness is used to ensure that the pushouts appearing in the cellular induction are homotopy pushouts. The details are left to the reader.

Lemma 8.8. *Let A be a cofibrant or monoidally cofibrant object in a flat left proper quasi-tractable monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$ whose weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits. Then $A \otimes -$ preserves weak equivalences.*

The following lemma of Berger and Moerdijk may be called an *equivariant pushout product axiom.*

Lemma 8.9. [BM06, Lemma 2.5.3] *Let $1 \to \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma \to \Gamma_2 \to 1$ be a short exact sequence of finite groups. Then, for a monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$,*

$$\otimes \colon \Gamma_2^{\mathrm{pro}}\mathcal{C} \times \Gamma^{\mathrm{pro}'}\mathcal{C} \to \Gamma^{\mathrm{pro}}\mathcal{C}$$

is a left Quillen bifunctor. Here $\Gamma^{\mathrm{pro}'}\mathcal{C}$ denotes the model structure on $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ whose cofibrations are Γ_1-projective cofibrations.

Theorem 8.10. *Suppose $F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ is a weak symmetric monoidal Quillen adjunction (Definition 8.1) between quasi-tractable symmetric monoidal model categories such that (a) weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits or (b) $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly admissibly generated. Also suppose that both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are either left proper or their monoidal unit is cofibrant.*

(i) Suppose that the transferred model structures on the categories $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{D})$ exist. (See Corollary 9.4.1 for a sufficient condition.) Then there is a Quillen adjunction of the categories of (symmetric) operads

$$F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}} : (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{D}) : G \quad . \tag{8.11}$$

It is a Quillen equivalence if (F, G) is a Quillen equivalence.

(ii) For any admissible (symmetric) operad O in $\mathcal{C}$, there is a Quillen adjunction

$$F^{\mathbf{Alg}} : \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_{F(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}(O)}(\mathcal{D}) : G. \tag{8.12}$$

It is a Quillen equivalence if (F, G) is a Quillen equivalence and O is a cofibrant operad.

(iii) If P is an admissible (symmetric) operad in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $G(P)$ is also admissible, there is a Quillen adjunction

$$F_{\mathbf{Alg}} : \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{C}}_{G(P)} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}_{P} : G. \tag{8.13}$$

It is a Quillen equivalence if (F, G) is a Quillen equivalence, P is fibrant, and $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ admit rectification of (symmetric) operads.

Proof. Since G is symmetric lax monoidal, it induces a lax monoidal adjunction

$$F : ((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W\mathcal{D}, \circ) \to ((\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W\mathcal{C}, \circ) : G \tag{8.14}$$

.

In particular, G preserves monoids, i.e., (symmetric) operads. This defines the right adjoint in (8.11). The right adjoint in (8.12) sends an $F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O)$-algebra B to $G(B)$ which is an O-algebra via

$$O \circ G(B) \to GF^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \circ G(B) \to G(F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \circ B) \to G(B).$$

The left adjoints exist by [Bor94b, Theorem 4.5.6]. Moreover, the right adjoints are Quillen right adjoints since (acyclic) fibrations are again created by the forgetful functors.

We now establish the advertised Quillen equivalences.

(i): We have to show that for any cofibrant operad O, the natural map

$$\phi_O \colon F(\mathrm{Q}(U(O))) \to U(F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O))$$

is a weak equivalence. In this case we have the following chain of equivalent statements for any cofibrant operad $O \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ and any fibrant operad $P \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{D})$ which implies the Quillen equivalence (8.11):

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \sim P &\Leftrightarrow UF^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \sim U(P) \\ &\Leftrightarrow F(\mathrm{Q}(U(O)) \sim U(P) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}(U(O)) \sim G(U(P)) = U(G(P)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow U(O) \sim U(G(P)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow O \sim G(P). \end{aligned}$$

The cellular induction starts with the initial operad $O = 1_{\mathcal{C}}[1]$, for which $F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) = 1_{\mathcal{D}}[1]$. Thus $\phi_{1[1]}$ is a weak equivalence by the weak monoidality of F.

Using the notation of Proposition 5.2, we now consider a pushout of operads along a map $\mathrm{Free}(x)$ where x is a cofibration in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$. We will show that $\phi_{O'}$ is a weak equivalence provided that ϕ_O is one.

Applying $F\mathrm{Q}$ to the filtration (see Proposition 5.2)

$$U(o) \colon O^{(0)} := U(O) \to \cdots \to O^{(\infty)} := U(O')$$

gives the front face of the following commutative cube in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{D}$. The back face is part of the filtration

$$U(\tilde{o}) \colon \tilde{O}^{(0)} := UF^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \to \cdots \to \tilde{O}^{(\infty)} := UF^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O')$$

associated to the pushout of operads in $\mathcal{D}$ which is obtained by applying the left adjoint $F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}$ to (5.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Free}(\tilde{X}) := F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(\mathrm{Free}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{O} := F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Free}(\tilde{x})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{o}} \\ \mathrm{Free}(\tilde{X}') := F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(\mathrm{Free}(X')) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{O}' := F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O'). \end{array}$$

Here and below, the notation $\tilde{?}$ indicates the object or morphism that is obtained by considering the data in the filtration of $\tilde{o} := F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(o)$. For example, $\tilde{X} := F(X)$ and similarly for X', x. The coproduct runs over all isomorphism classes of marked trees T in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(k+1)}_{s,w}$.



At this point (and only here) we use the assumption that $\mathcal{D}$ is either left proper or its monoidal unit is cofibrant: in the former case any pushout along a cofibration is a homotopy pushout. In the latter case, $\tilde{O}_{s,w} = \tilde{O}^{(0)}_{s,w}$ is cofibrant for all (s,w) by Lemma 6.1(i3) and therefore by induction the same is true for $\tilde{O}^{(k)}_{s,w}$. Hence the pushout above is again a homotopy pushout. Likewise, the front square is a homotopy pushout, since $F\mathrm{Q}(-)$ preserves those. Thus, $r^{(k+1)}$ is a weak equivalence if $r^{(k)}$, $*$ and $**$ are ones. The map $r^{(k)}$ is a weak equivalence by induction on k, starting with

$$r^{(0)} \colon F\mathrm{Q}(O^{(0)}_{s,w}) = F\mathrm{Q}(U(O)_{s,w}) \to \tilde{O}^{(0)}_{s,w} = UF^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O)_{s,w}$$

which is the (s,w)-level of ϕ_O, which is a weak equivalence by the cellular induction on O. It remains to show that the maps $*$ and $**$ are weak equivalences.

Let $T \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}_{s,w}$ be any tree. By induction on the height of T, we prove the following claims:

(A) The map $\epsilon(T)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathrm{Aut}\, T)^{\mathrm{pro}}\mathcal{C}$ with cofibrant or monoidally cofibrant domain (Definition 8.3). The domain is cofibrant for all trees except (possibly) for the tree $T^-_w := (\overset{w}{\to}\bullet\overset{w}{\to}) \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(0)}_{w,w}$ which consists of a single nonmarked vertex with input edge and root edge colored by w. In particular, $\epsilon(T)$ is a cofibration with cofibrant domain for all $T \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(k+1)}_{s,w}$ with $k \geq 0$. (These are the trees appearing in the cubical diagram above. In order to perform the induction, we also need to consider $T \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}^{(0)}_{s,w}$.)

(B) There are weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ (i.e., both source and target of the morphisms are weakly equivalent)

$$F\mathrm{Q}(\epsilon(T)) \to \tilde{\epsilon}(T).$$

Let $(t,w) := \mathrm{val}(r(T))$ be the valency of the root $r(T)$ of T. If T consists of a single vertex $r(T)$ (with an outgoing root edge and finitely many input edges), then $t = s$ and

$$\epsilon(T) = \epsilon(r(T)) = \begin{cases} (\eta_O)_{(t,w)}, & \text{if the root } r(T) \text{ is not marked;} \\ x_{(t,w)}, & \text{if the root } r(T) \text{ is marked.} \end{cases}$$

Both are cofibrations in $\Sigma_t(\mathcal{C})(= \mathrm{Aut}(T)\mathcal{C})$, the former by Lemma 6.1(i). Since $X = \mathrm{dom}(x)$ is cofibrant by quasitractability, the source of $\epsilon(T)$ is monoidally cofibrant for $(T =)r(T) = T^-_w$ and cofibrant else. This shows claim (A).

For claim (B), we note that $F\mathrm{Q}(U(\eta_O))$ is weakly equivalent to $\eta_{\tilde{O}}$ by the unit part of the weak monoidality of F and the cellular induction on O. To show $F\mathrm{Q}(u) \sim \tilde{u}$, we consider the pushout square in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$, denoted E:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & U(O) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X' & \longrightarrow & U(O) \sqcup_X X' \end{array}$$

It is a homotopy pushout square in all degrees: for unit degrees, the left vertical map is $\mathrm{id}_\emptyset$ and for nonunit degrees $O_{s,w}$ is (Σ_s-projectively) cofibrant (and $x_{s,w}$ is a cofibration). Applying $F\mathrm{Q}$ to E gives a homotopy pushout square in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{D})$. The square $\tilde{E}$ in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{D})$ obtained by replacing X, X' and O by their $\tilde{?}$-counterparts is also a homotopy pushout square. By cellular induction $F\mathrm{Q}U(O) \sim U\tilde{O}$. Of course $F\mathrm{Q}(X) \sim \tilde{X}(= F(X))$ by the cofibrancy of X (using the quasitractability of $\mathcal{C}$) and similarly for X'. We obtain the desired weak equivalence

$$F(\mathrm{Q}(U(O) \sqcup_X X')) \sim U(\tilde{O}) \sqcup_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X}'$$

and hence claim (B) for the tree T consisting of a single (marked or unmarked) vertex.

We now perform the induction step. We may assume that T has at least two vertices. By definition,

$$\epsilon(T) = \epsilon(r(T)) \,\square\, \underbrace{\square_i \, \epsilon(T_i)^{\square t_i}}_{=:\epsilon'(T)}.$$

Recall that a map f in a model category $\mathcal{C}$ is a cofibration with cofibrant source if and only if it is a cofibrant object in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., $\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to f$ is a cofibration. Likewise, f is a cofibration with monoidally cofibrant source if and only if there is a cofibration $\mathrm{id}_1 \to f$ in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$.

We write $\epsilon(r(T))\colon V \to W$ and $\epsilon'(T)\colon e^*(T) \to e(T)$. Let $\mathrm{val}(r(T)) = (s,w)$. As was noted above, $\epsilon(r(T))$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C})$. Its domain $V_{s,w} := \mathrm{dom}(\epsilon(r(T))_{s,w})$ is monoidally cofibrant in $\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}$ if T is of the form $(T_1 \overset{w}{\to}\bullet\overset{w}{\to})$ where T_1 is the subtree of the root vertex. In this case, we abusively write $r(T) = T_w$. In all other cases, $V_{s,w}$ is cofibrant. Hence $\mathrm{id}_1 \to \epsilon(r(T))$ (respectively $\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(r(T))$) is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Ar}(\Sigma_s\mathcal{C}) = \Sigma_s\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$. By induction on T, $\epsilon(T_i)$ is an $\mathrm{Aut}(T_i)$-projective cofibration whose source is monoidally cofibrant (if $T_i = T_w$) and cofibrant (otherwise). Again, we reinterpret this in terms of cofibrations in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathrm{Aut}(T_i)\mathcal{C})$.

We now consider four cases:

(1) $r(T) \neq T_w^-$, at least one $T_i \neq T_w^-$: By Lemma 8.9, applied to $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$ (with the pushout product), the map
$$(\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(r(T))) \,\square\, (\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon'(T)) = (\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(r(T)) \,\square\, \epsilon'(T)) = (\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(T))$$
is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathrm{Aut}(T)\mathcal{C})$ in this case, i.e., $\epsilon(T)$ is a cofibration with cofibrant source.

(2) $r(T) \neq T_w^-$, all $T_i = T_w^-$: Then
$$(\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(r(T))) \,\square\, (\mathrm{id}_1 \to \epsilon'(T)) = (\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(r(T)) \,\square\, \epsilon'(T)) = (\mathrm{id}_\emptyset \to \epsilon(T))$$
is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathrm{Aut}(T)\mathcal{C})$.

(3) Similarly for $r(T) = T_w^-$, $T_1 \neq T_w^-$.

(4) $r(T) = T_w^-$, $T_1 = T_w^-$: By definition of the trees in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}_{s,w}$, any internal edge contains at least one marked vertex. Thus this tree does not lie in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}_{s,w}$ unless T_1 is empty, in which case we have shown the claim above.

This shows claim (A).

We now show (B). We may assume that T consists of at least two vertices. Consider the diagram E whose left square is by definition cocartesian,

(8.15)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T) & \xrightarrow{V_{t,w} \otimes \epsilon'(T)} & V_{t,w} \otimes e(T) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon(r(T))_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T)} & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ W_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T) & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow[\epsilon(r(T))_{t,w} \square \epsilon'(T)]{} & W_{t,w} \otimes e(T). \end{array}$$

We claim that the left pushout square is a homotopy pushout. By Lemma 8.4(i), both the left vertical and the top horizontal maps are cofibrations (in $\mathcal{C}$, say), hence the claim is clear if $V_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T)$ is cofibrant, because in this case the above pushout diagram is cofibrant as a diagram. By the above, $V_{t,w}$ and $e^*(T)$ are either cofibrant or monoidally cofibrant. Again using Lemma 8.4, the only way that $V_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T)$ is only monoidally cofibrant is that both $V_{t,w}$ and $e^*(T)$ are monoidally cofibrant. By the above, the first only happens for $r(T) = T_w^-$ and the second happens only if all $T_i = T_w^-$. As was noted in Case (4), this means $T = (\overset{w}{\to}\bullet\overset{w}{\to}\bullet\overset{w}{\to})$, which is excluded.

We have weak equivalences
$$\begin{aligned} FQ(V_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T)) &\sim F(QV_{t,w} \otimes Qe^*(T)) \\ &\sim FQ(V_{t,w}) \otimes FQ(e^*(T)) \\ &\sim Q(\tilde{V}_{t,w}) \otimes Q(\tilde{e}^*(T)) \\ &\sim \tilde{V}_{t,w} \otimes \tilde{e}^*(T). \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence holds by Lemma 8.5, which gives a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects
$$Q(V_{t,w} \otimes e^*(T)) \sim Q(V_{t,w}) \otimes Q(e^*(T))$$
since both $V_{t,w}$ and $e^*(T)$ are cofibrant or monoidally cofibrant. The second equivalence holds by weak monoidality of F. The third equivalence follows from Brown's lemma and the equivalences $FQ(V_{t,w}) \sim \tilde{V}_{t,w}$ and $FQ(e^*(T)) \sim \tilde{e}^*(T)$. The last weak equivalence holds by Lemma 8.5, again using the (monoidal) cofibrancy of $\tilde{V}_{t,w}$ and $\tilde{e}^*(T)$. The same is also true for $W_{t,w}$ and/or $e(T)$ instead.

We now apply FQ to the diagram E in (8.15). On the other hand, we consider the diagram $\tilde{E}$ obtained by replacing $V_{t,w}$ by $\tilde{V}_{t,w}$ etc. There is a map of diagrams $FQ(E) \to \tilde{E}$. By the above, all individual maps in this morphisms of diagrams are weak equivalences, except (a priori) for
$$FQ\,(P) \to \tilde{P}.$$
However, since the left squares of $FQ(E)$ and $\tilde{E}$ are homotopy pushout squares, this remaining map is also a weak equivalence. Therefore, $FQ(E) \sim \tilde{E}$. In particular we get the requested weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{C})$
$$FQ(\epsilon(T)) \sim \tilde{\epsilon}(T).$$
This finishes the induction step (with respect to the tree T). We have shown that the individual summands in the maps $*$ and $**$ are weak equivalences.

The coproducts appearing in the left face of the cube above are homotopy coproducts, since for all $T \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Tree}_{s,w}^{(k+1)}$ $(k \geq 0)$, the terms $\Sigma_t \cdot_{\mathrm{Aut}\,T} x^*(T)$ and similarly for $x(T)$ are Σ_t-projectively cofibrant by Claim (A). This implies that the maps $*$ and $**$ themselves are weak equivalences and therefore finishes the induction step with respect to the cellular induction by O.

For a cellular filtration of O_∞ by operads O_i such that ϕ_{O_i} is a weak equivalence for all $i < \infty$, the same is true for $i = \infty$ using that U preserves filtered colimits and assumption (a). In case (b), we also use that the transition maps $(\mathrm{co})\mathrm{dom}(\phi_{O_i}) \to (\mathrm{co})\mathrm{dom}(\phi_{O_{i+1}})$ lie in (2.2), by (5.4).

(ii): For any cofibrant O-algebra A, we have the following chain of canonical isomorphisms and weak equivalences, which as above shows the requested Quillen equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} U(F^{\mathbf{Alg}}(A)) &= \mathrm{Env}(F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O), F^{\mathbf{Alg}}(A))_0 \\ &= F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(\mathrm{Env}(O,A))_0 \\ &\sim F(\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Env}(O,A))_0) \\ &\sim F(\mathrm{Env}(O,A)_0) \\ &= F(U(A)) \\ &\sim F\mathrm{Q}(U(A)). \end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

The last (and similarly the first) canonical isomorphism is Proposition 4.4(i). The second isomorphism comes from a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\mathrm{Env}(F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(-), F^{\mathbf{Alg}}(*)) = F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(\mathrm{Env}(-,*))$$

since both expressions are the left adjoint to $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathbf{Pairs}(\mathbf{sColl}_W(\mathcal{C}))$, $P \mapsto (G(P), G(P)_0)$. The first weak equivalence was shown in Part (i), which is applicable since $\mathrm{Env}(O,A)$ is a cofibrant operad by Lemma 6.1(ii). The second weak equivalence is given by Lemma 6.1(i). The last weak equivalence follows from Proposition 6.2.

(iii): Let $O \in (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ be a cofibrant replacement of $G(P)$. Equivalently, by Part (i), $P \sim F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O)$. By rectification of operads for $\mathcal{D}$, (ii), and rectification of operads for $\mathcal{C}$, we have the following chain of Quillen equivalences

$$\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\mathcal{D}} \sim \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{D}}_{F^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}}(O)} \sim \mathbf{Alg}_O^{\mathcal{C}} \sim \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{C}}_{G(P)}.$$

□

Remark 8.17. The condition in Theorem 8.10 that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ have the property that they are either left proper or their monoidal unit is cofibrant is only used to show that pushouts of certain cofibrations with cofibrant domain are homotopy pushouts. Since being a homotopy pushout only depends on the class of weak equivalences, this also holds, for example, if $\mathcal{C}$ has another model structure with more cofibrations, and the same weak equivalences.

If the left adjoint F is in addition symmetric monoidal, we can relax the condition on O in Theorem 8.10(ii).

Corollary 8.18. *In the situation of Theorem 8.10, suppose in addition that the left adjoint F is strong symmetric oplax monoidal (i.e., the symmetric oplax structural maps $F(C \otimes C') \to F(C) \otimes F(C')$ are isomorphisms, so that F is also symmetric lax monoidal). Let O be any (symmetric) operad in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $U(\eta_O)$ is a cofibration in $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Coll}_W(\mathcal{C})$.*

Then there is a Quillen adjunction

$$F : \mathbf{Alg}_O(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_{F(O)}(\mathcal{D}) : G$$

which is a Quillen equivalence if (F,G) is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. Since F is symmetric monoidal, $U \circ F^{\mathbf{Alg}} = F \circ U$, see, e.g., [AM10, Proposition 3.91]. Therefore, only the last weak equivalence in (8.16) requires proof. By Proposition 6.2 O is strongly admissible, i.e., $U(A)$ is cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}$, so that $F(U(A)) \sim F(Q(U(A))$ by Brown's lemma. □

9. Applications

This last section contains a few applications to the homotopy theory of enriched categories, ordinary categories, operads, and (monoidal) diagrams. The strategy is similar for all these applications: enriched categories, say, are algebras over a certain nonsymmetric operad. Therefore, the admissibility and rectification results of §5–7 can be applied.

The list presented here is by no means exhaustive, other potential applications include monads in model categories, internal categories (and higher internal categories), (higher) spans, etc. Symmetric operads in symmetric spectra and some applications are studied in [PS14].

In §9, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a symmetric monoidal model category and $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched model category whose weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits. Moreover, assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is quasi-tractable and either combinatorial or $\mathcal{V}$-admissibly generated.

9.1. **Rectification of A_∞- and E_∞-monoids.** In this section we discuss rectification of homotopy coherent versions of monoids and commutative monoids. We start by giving explicit constructions of two important operads, A_∞ and E_∞.

The Barratt-Eccles operad E_∞ can be constructed by taking the associative symmetric operad in sets, applying the functor E to it (E sends a set to a groupoid with the same set of objects and a single morphism between any pair of objects), obtaining a symmetric operad in groupoids, and then applying the nerve functor, which gives a simplicial operad. See the paragraph after Corollary 3.5 in Elmendorf and Mandell [EM06].

An identical construction (apply E and then take the nerve) produces a model for the operad A_∞, but the original operad in sets is now the free operad on a single binary operation and a single nullary operation, so that $\mathcal{O}_n$ consists of planar rooted trees with n leaves, see, for example, [BM03, §5.8]. Alternatively, one can take the free operad generated by a single operation in each arity (which corresponds to the so-called *unbiased* monoids).

In what follows, we actually don't need to apply the nerve functor, because an operad in groupoids is sufficient for our purposes. We also note that any category enriched in simplicial sets is automatically enriched in groupoids by applying the nerve functor. The following propositions are mere specializations of the general theorems on admissibility and rectifiability. We give explicit statements here due to the importance of these examples.

Proposition 9.1.1. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric h-monoidal and groupoid-enriched then the category of E_∞-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ admits a transferred model structure. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric flat with respect to the morphism $\mathrm{E}_\infty \to \mathrm{Comm}$ (or simply symmetric flat), then the Quillen adjunction between commutative monoids and E_∞-monoids is a Quillen equivalence.*

A similar statement for A_∞ and As holds if $\mathcal{C}$ is merely h-monoidal and flat.

9.2. **Model structures on enriched categories.** For a small set W, Berger and Moerdijk [BM07, 1.5.4] have introduced a nonsymmetric $W \times W$-colored operad in $\mathcal{V}$ given by

$$\mathrm{Cat}_W^{\mathrm{As}}(((v_1, v_1'), \dots, (v_n, v_n')), (v_0', v_{n+1}')) = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathcal{V}}, & v_i' = v_{i+1} \text{ for all } 0 \le i \le n; \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This defines a nonsymmetric operad in $\mathcal{V}$. Its algebras in $\mathcal{C}^{W \times W}$ are precisely *$\mathcal{C}$-enriched categories with W as objects*. More generally, given a nonsymmetric operad O in $\mathcal{V}$, one can also consider the nonsymmetric operad Cat_W^O, which is given by replacing $1_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathrm{As}_n$ in the previous formula by O_n. Algebras over this operad can be called *$\mathcal{V}$-enriched O-twisted categories*. Typically, O is taken to be A_∞. In this case we speak of *$\mathcal{V}$-enriched A_∞-categories*, i.e., composition is not strictly associative, but rather associative up to coherent higher homotopies.

The following lemma is an immediate application of the results on admissibility and rectification. Up to a minor expository difference (see Remark 5.12), the admissibility statement is the same as Muro's [Mur11, Corollaries 10.4, 10.5]. The rectification result in loc. cit. uses in addition the left properness of $\mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 9.2.1. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is h-monoidal, then all (nonsymmetric) operads in $\mathcal{V}$ are admissible. In particular, the operad Cat_W^O is admissible, so O-twisted $\mathcal{C}$-enriched categories with W as the set of objects and functors that induce identity on objects carry a model structure whose weak equivalences and fibrations are those $\mathcal{C}$-enriched functors $F\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$ that induce weak equivalences, respectively fibrations in $\mathcal{C}$:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, D') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{E}}(C, C'),$$

for all objects $D = F(D)$ and $D' = F(D')$ in $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{E}) = W$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is in addition flat over the levels φ_n ($n \ge 0$) of some weak equivalence $\varphi\colon O \to P$ of nonsymmetric operads in $\mathcal{V}$, there is a Quillen equivalence of O- and P-twisted $\mathcal{C}$-enriched categories (both with W as objects):

$$\varphi_* : \mathbf{Cat}_W^O(\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrows \mathbf{Cat}_W^P(\mathcal{C}) : \varphi^*.$$

For example, if $1_{\mathcal{V}}$ is cofibrant, then this condition is satisfied for any weak equivalence $\mathrm{A}_\infty \to \mathrm{As}$, where A_∞ is a cofibrant replacement of As. It is satisfied for any weak equivalence if $\mathcal{C}$ is flat (Definition 2.1).

Proof. Admissibility follows from Theorem 5.10 and Remark 5.12 and rectification follows from Theorem 7.5. If $1_{\mathcal{V}}$ is cofibrant, then $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over the levels of $\mathrm{A}_\infty \to \mathrm{As}$: $\mathrm{As}_n = 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ is cofibrant. Moreover, A_∞ is a cofibrant operad, so that its levels are cofibrant by Lemma 6.1. Any monoidal model category is flat over a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects by Brown's lemma. □

These individual model structures on $\mathbf{Cat}_W(\mathcal{C})$ can be assembled into a single model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$. The following result is due to Muro [Mur12, Theorem 1.1]. Muro's work relaxes the assumptions of similar results of Stanculescu [Sta09] as well as Berger and Moerdijk [BM13, Theorem 1.9], which in turn generalizes results of Amrani ($\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Top}$) [Ili15], Bergner (for $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{sSet}$) [Ber07, Theorem 1.1], Lurie (every object of $\mathcal{V}$ is cofibrant) [Lur09, Proposition A.3.2.4], and Tabuada ($\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$ for some ring R and $\mathcal{V}$ being symmetric spectra) [Tab05, Théorème 3.1], [Tab07], [Tab09, Theorem 5.10].

Given some property of objects or morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ we say that a $\mathcal{C}$-enriched category or a $\mathcal{C}$-enriched functor has this property locally if it is true for the enriched objects of morphisms between each pair of objects. Given a $\mathcal{C}$-enriched category, its derived π_0 is an ordinary 1-category that is constructed by applying the derived internal hom from the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{C}$ to each object of morphisms.

Proposition 9.2.2. *(Muro) Suppose again that $\mathcal{C}$ is h-monoidal. Then $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ is carries the* Dwyer-Kan model structure *whose weak equivalences are the* Dwyer-Kan equivalences *(i.e., local weak equivalences and their derived π_0 is an essentially surjective functor or, equivalently, an equivalence of categories) and whose acyclic fibrations are local acyclic fibrations that are surjective on objects.*

Proposition 9.2.3. *Fix $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as in Corollary 9.2.1 and a weak equivalence $\varphi : O \to P$ of nonsymmetric operads in $\mathcal{V}$. Assume that the Dwyer-Kan model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}^O(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Cat}^P(\mathcal{C})$ exists, as in Proposition 9.2.2. If $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over a weak equivalence $\varphi : O \to P$ (more precisely, flat over the levels φ_n for all $n \geq 0$), then we have a Quillen equivalence*

$$\varphi_* : \mathbf{Cat}^O(\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrows \mathbf{Cat}^P(\mathcal{C}) : \varphi^*.$$

For example, this holds for all weak equivalences φ if $\mathcal{C}$ is flat. It also holds for the weak equivalence $\varphi\colon \mathrm{A}_\infty \to \mathrm{As}$ if the monoidal unit $1_\mathcal{V}$ is cofibrant.

Remark 9.2.4. Under the above assumptions, we expect that the Dwyer-Kan model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}^O(\mathcal{C})$ exists for any operad O. The reader is encouraged to generalize Muro's result 9.2.2 to arbitrary operads.

Proof. For some cofibrant object $X \in \mathbf{Cat}^O(\mathcal{C})$ and a fibrant object $Y \in \mathbf{Cat}^P(\mathcal{C})$, the (co)unit morphism of the adjunction for X and Y can be computed in the corresponding slices $\mathbf{Cat}^O_{\mathrm{Obj}(X)}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Cat}^P_{\mathrm{Obj}(Y)}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, the (co)fibrancy of X and Y is equivalent to the one in the corresponding slice category. Now the Quillen equivalence immediately follows from the rectification of category structures with a fixed set of objects (Corollary 9.2.1). □

An interesting question that arises in relation to these results is whether it is possible to define a monoidal structure on the category of enriched categories in such a way that the resulting model category is monoidal. The naive choice (take the product of sets of objects and the tensor product of enriched morphisms) already fails to satisfy the pushout product axiom in the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is the model category of small categories, as shown by Lack. The *Gray tensor product* does turn enriched categories in small categories (i.e., strict 2-categories) into a monoidal model category, however, it is unclear how one should generalize it to enriched categories. If such a monoidal product could be constructed, then one could iterate the construction of enriched categories and consider higher enriched categories (i.e., enriched categories in enriched categories etc.). Such a construction could explain how the traditional definitions of bicategories, tricategories, and tetracategories could be generalized in a systematic way to higher dimensions. Furthermore, for certain choices of the operad O (e.g., the categorical A_∞-operad) one would expect to get a model category that is Quillen equivalent to any of the usual model categories of (∞, n)-categories. (We cannot expect this for $O = \mathrm{As}$ because it is well-known that tricategories cannot in general be strictified to strict 3-categories.)

9.3. **Applications to category theory.** In this section we apply the results of §9.2 to some concrete examples of (low-dimensional) category theory.

Consider the category of sets equipped with the model structure whose weak equivalences are bijections and fibrations and cofibrations are arbitrary maps. Equip this model category with the monoidal structure given by the cartesian product. This model structure is tractable, proper, its weak equivalences are stable under filtered colimits (it is pretty small in the sense of [PS15, Definition 2.0.2] for the maps $\emptyset \to \{0\}$, $\{0,1\} \to \{0\}$ generate the cofibrations, then use [PS15, Lemma 2.0.3]), symmetric h-monoidal and symmetroidal, and symmetric flat. By Proposition 9.2.2, the category **Cat** of categories admits a model structure whose weak equivalences are equivalences of categories and fibrations are the so-called isofibrations, i.e., functors $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that any isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$, $F(C) \cong D$ (for $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $D \in \mathcal{D}$) has a lift to an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$. This is precisely the *canonical (folk) model structure on categories*, see, for example, Rezk [Rez]. The canonical model structure is tractable, pretty small, cartesian (i.e., monoidal with respect to the categorical product), simplicial, and all objects are fibrant and cofibrant, see Rezk [Rez] for details. Furthermore, it is symmetric h-monoidal and symmetroidal because cofibrations are precisely those functors which are injective on objects, and the latter property survives pushout products and coinvariants under Σ_n, the argument being similar to the one for simplicial sets, see [PS15, §7.1]. Finally, the canonical model structure is flat, which follows immediately from the definition of equivalences of categories, which are stable under products. However, symmetric flatness fails: the Σ_n-equivariant functor from the groupoid $\mathrm{E}\Sigma_n$ (objects are Σ_n and morphisms are $\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n$) to the terminal groupoid is a weak equivalence, yet its Σ_n-coinvariants is the map $\mathrm{B}\Sigma_n \to 1$ ($\mathrm{B}\Sigma_n$ has one object whose endomorphisms are Σ_n), which is not an equivalence.

The results of §§5–§7 yield model structures on various types of monoidal categories and a strong form of *Mac Lane's coherence theorem.*

Proposition 9.3.1. *There is a model structure on strict monoidal categories, monoidal categories, strict symmetric monoidal categories, and symmetric monoidal categories whose weak equivalences and fibrations are the ones of the underlying categories.*

Every monoidal category is equivalent (via a strong monoidal functor) to a strict monoidal category. This strict monoidal category is unique up to strict monoidal equivalence. Similarly, every monoidal functor is equivalent (via a strong monoidal natural transformation) to a strict monoidal functor which is again unique up to a strict monoidal natural transformation.

Proof. The above-mentioned categories are algebras (in **Cat**) over the associative operad As, the operad A_∞, the commutative operad Comm, and the operad E_∞, respectively. Hence the existence of the model structure follows from Theorem 5.10, whose assumptions have been verified above.

Furthermore, the nonsymmetric rectification theorem (Theorem 7.5) tells us that the canonical morphism from A_∞ to the associative operad induces a Quillen equivalence between As-algebras and A_∞-algebras. □

Example 9.3.2. The morphism from E_∞ to the commutative operad is not symmetric flat, as explained above, which tells us that symmetric monoidal categories cannot always be strictified to strict symmetric monoidal categories. This is well-known because symmetric monoidal categories can have a nontrivial k-invariant whereas strict symmetric monoidal categories always have a trivial k-invariant.

Similarly, *Mac Lane's coherence theorem for bicategories* follows from the above, since strict 2-categories are $\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathrm{As}}$-algebras and bicategories are $\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathrm{A}_\infty}$-algebras in **Cat**, respectively:

Proposition 9.3.3. *There is a Quillen equivalence between the model categories of strict 2-categories and bicategories.*

We conjecture that other strictification results of category theory, such as strictification of tricategories to Gray categories (Gordon, Power, and Street), partial strictification of symmetric monoidal bicategories, etc., can also be shown using the methods of this paper. However, considerations of volume prevent us from developing this topic further. Simpson's conjecture might also be amenable to the techniques explained above.

9.4. **The colored operad of colored operads.** Given a set W, there is a (symmetric) colored operad Oper_W whose category of algebras is equivalent to the category of (symmetric) W-colored operads in $\mathcal{C}$. It is due to Berger and Moerdijk [BM07, §1.5.6, §1.5.7]. See also [GV12, §3] for a detailed description of the multicolored case.

This operad is first constructed for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$ as follows: the set of colors of $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$ is the set of objects of $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{W,W}$, which we call *valencies*. Recall from §3 that the objects of $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{W,W}$ are pairs $c = (s, w)$ where $s\colon I \to W$ is a map from a finite set I and $w \in W$. The operations

$$(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W(a_1, \dots, a_k; b)$$

from a given sequence of valencies $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ to a valency b are given by isomorphism classes of triples (T, σ, τ) consisting of a W-colored (symmetric) tree T equipped with a bijection σ from $\{1, \dots, k\}$ to the set of internal vertices of T such that the valency of $\sigma(i)$ equals a_i and a color-preserving bijection τ from $\{1, \dots, m\}$, where m is the arity of b, to the input edges of T. Isomorphisms of such triples are isomorphisms of colored trees which are compatible with σ and τ. In the symmetric case the symmetric group Σ_k acts on such classes by precomposition with σ. The operadic unit sends each valency c to the corresponding corolla, interpreted as an operation from c to c. The operadic composition is given by grafting of trees, see [BM07, §1.5.6] in the uncolored case. One checks that this gives a (symmetric) operad, denoted $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$, in **Sets**.

The functor $\mathbf{Sets} \to \mathcal{C}$, $X \mapsto \coprod_{x \in X} 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is symmetric monoidal and therefore extends to a functor

$$(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W}(\mathbf{Sets}) \to (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_W}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The image of $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$ under this functor is again denoted by $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$.

The following admissibility statement unifies a few earlier results: the semi-model structure for symmetric operads established by Spitzweck [Spi01, Theorem 3.2], the model structure for nonsymmetric operads by Muro [Mur11, Theorem 1.1] and, the model structure on uncolored operads in orthogonal spectra with the positive stable model structure by Kro [Kro07, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 9.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be (symmetric) h-monoidal. Then the operad $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$ of (symmetric) W-colored operads is admissible, that is to say, the category $(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ of (symmetric) W-colored operads in $\mathcal{C}$ has a model structure that is transferred along the adjunction*

$$\mathrm{Free} : \mathcal{C}^{(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Seq}_{W,W}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathrm{Oper}_W}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathbf{s})\mathbf{Oper}_W(\mathcal{C}) : U.$$

If $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofibrant, then $(\mathrm{s})\mathrm{Oper}_W$ is strongly admissible, i.e., the forgetful functor U preserves cofibrations with cofibrant domain.

Proof. The admissibility follows from Theorem 5.10. The strong admissibility follows from Proposition 6.2 since (s)Oper is levelwise projectively cofibrant. □

Operads can be generalized in the same way that enriched categories are generalized to enriched A_∞-categories. Fix a (symmetric) operad O. In practice, O is an A_∞-operad, i.e., we have a weak equivalence of operads $O \to \mathrm{As}$, where As denotes the associative operad. We define the colored (symmetric) operad Oper_W^O of *O-twisted W-colored (symmetric) operads* by the same construction as above, starting from a colored operads P in sets, except that we pass to a $\mathcal{C}$-valued operad in a modified fashion: instead of tensoring operations in degree k with $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ we tensor them with O_k. The intuitive idea behind this is that the composition of operadic operations is no longer strictly associative, but is rather governed by the operad O. An O-twisted W-colored operad is an O-algebra in

the monoidal category of W-colored (symmetric) sequences equipped with the substitution product, the latter being a left $\mathcal{C}$-module in the obvious way. Then Corollary 9.4.1 has an immediate generalization for the operad (s)Oper^O. For the strong admissibility, the requirement on $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is replaced by the condition that the levels O_k be cofibrant as objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, Theorem 7.5 admits the following corollary.

Corollary 9.4.2. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over a weak equivalence $O \to P$ of operads, then we have a Quillen equivalence $\mathbf{sOper}_W^O(\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrows \mathbf{sOper}_W^P(\mathcal{C})$ of O-twisted and P-twisted (symmetric) W-colored operads in $\mathcal{C}$. For example, if $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofibrant, then A_∞-twisted colored symmetric operads can be rectified to ordinary colored symmetric operads.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.5 once we show the symmetric flatness of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathbf{sOper}_W^O \to \mathbf{sOper}_W^P$. Every component of $\mathbf{sOper}_W^O$ is a coproduct of the corresponding components of O, and the relevant symmetric group acts freely on the components. Thus the symmetric flatness follows from the flatness of $\mathcal{C}$ over $O \to P$. □

Remark 9.4.3. In fact, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched model category that is symmetric h-monoidal with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ only (and not necessarily with respect to itself), then the colored operad of colored operads can be defined with values in $\mathcal{V}$ and its algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ will still be W-colored operads in $\mathcal{C}$, so the above corollary holds in this more general setting. Gutiérrez and Vogt used such a setup (with a different set of conditions on $\mathcal{V}$) to construct a model structure on W-colored operads in symmetric spectra, see Corollary 4.1 in [GV12].

Starting from this point, further work is required to assemble the model structures on $\mathbf{sOper}_W(\mathcal{C})$ into one on the category (**s**)**Oper**$(\mathcal{C})$ of (symmetric) operads with an arbitrary set of colors. This has been done for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{sSet}$ by Cisinski and Moerdijk [CM13, Theorem 1.14] and independently by Robertson [Rob11, Theorem 6] and was extended by Caviglia [Cav14] to more general model categories using similar arguments. We expect that the assumptions can be further relaxed to the ones stated in the above corollary.

9.5. **Diagrams.** In this section we construct a model structure on the category of enriched diagrams of some fixed shape and prove a rectification result. In particular, we recover the classical result of Vogt and its generalization by Cordier and Porter on homotopy coherent diagrams.

Proposition 9.5.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is, in addition to the standing assumptions in this section, h-monoidal. For any $\mathcal{V}$-enriched, small category $\mathcal{D}$, the category of $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ admits a transferred model structure. Its weak equivalences and fibrations are those natural transformations of $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functors $F \to G$ such that for all objects $X \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$F(X) \to G(X)$$

is a weak equivalence, respectively a fibration. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{V}$ has a model structure and $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over $\mathcal{V}$, then a componentwise weak equivalence of diagrams $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ whose object map is the identity induces a Quillen equivalence of the two model categories of diagrams.

Remark 9.5.2. A more general version of the rectification result allows for a Dwyer-Kan equivalence $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$.

Proof. Following Berger and Moerdijk [BM07, §1.5.5], we consider the nonsymmetric colored operad $\mathrm{Diag}_{\mathcal{D}}$ that encodes diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by a fixed $\mathcal{V}$-enriched category $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$. The operad $\mathrm{Diag}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is colored by the set of objects of $\mathcal{D}$. Its operations are defined as

$$\mathrm{Diag}_{\mathcal{D}}(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & n \neq 1; \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y), & n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the enriched hom object. The operadic composition and unit are induced by the composition and unit of $\mathcal{D}$. (The construction just described embeds enriched categories into nonsymmetric colored operads.)

A $\mathrm{Diag}_{\mathcal{D}}$-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ consists of a collection of objects D_X in $\mathcal{C}$, for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$ together with morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}(X, Y) \otimes D_X \to D_Y$ that satisfy the obvious associativity and unitality conditions. This is precisely the data of a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functor $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 5.10 now implies that the category of $\mathcal{D}$-diagrams admits a transferred model structure. At this point we remark that Theorem 6.6 likewise implies that cofibrations with cofibrant source are preserved by the forgetful functor if taking the pushout product with $1_C \to \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, X)$ and $\emptyset \to \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ preserves (acyclic) cofibrations, which is true, for example, if individual hom objects are cofibrant and the unit maps are cofibrations.

Theorem 7.5 implies the desired rectification statement if $\mathcal{C}$ is flat. □

9.6. **Monoidal diagrams.** Extending the results of the previous section, there is also a (symmetric) colored operad that encodes lax (symmetric) monoidal diagrams, i.e., lax (symmetric) monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is now an algebra over the monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-enriched category. We therefore obtain a model structure on lax (symmetric) monoidal functors:

Proposition 9.6.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is (symmetric) h-monoidal. For any $\mathcal{V}$-enriched symmetric monoidal small category $\mathcal{D}$, the category of lax (symmetric) monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functors $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ admits a transferred model structure. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{C}$ is (symmetric) flat over $\mathcal{V}$, then a weak equivalence $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ induces a Quillen equivalence of the induced model categories.*

Proof. We consider the (symmetric) operad whose operations from a multisource $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ to a target t are given by the enriched morphism object from $s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_k$ to t. The operadic composition and unit are induced by the monoidal category structure of $\mathcal{D}$.

An algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ over this operad consists of a collection of objects D_X in $\mathcal{C}$, for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$, together with morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_k, Y) \otimes D_{X_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes D_{X_k} \to D_Y$ that satisfy the corresponding associativity and unitality conditions. This is precisely the data of a (symmetric) lax monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functor $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$.

As before, Theorem 5.10 and Theorem 7.5 now imply the admissibility and rectification criteria as stated. □

One could also ask for a model structure on lax functors whose fibrant objects are "weakly strong" monoidal functors, meaning that the canonical maps $A(X) \otimes A(Y) \to A(X \sqcup Y)$ and $1 \to A(\emptyset)$ are weak equivalences. This would be useful for factorization algebras, for example (see the next section). Such a model structure could be obtained by a left Bousfield localization with respect to the local objects defined above, however, it is not clear why such a left Bousfield localization should exist in this case.

9.7. **Prefactorization algebras.** As an application of the previous section we construct a model structure on prefactorization algebras. See §7.3 in Costello and Gwilliam's book [CG] for the relevant background. A *prefactorization algebra* on a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched monoidal site $(S, \sqcup, \emptyset)$ (it's useful to think of the monoidal structure as the disjoint union) is a symmetric lax monoidal $\mathcal{V}$-enriched functor from S to $\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{V}$-enriched. A typical example of S is the category of smooth manifolds and their embeddings equipped with the Weiss topology, where morphism objects are either discrete or have the natural space structure. The previous section now immediately implies the following statement.

Proposition 9.7.1. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric h-monoidal, $\mathcal{V}$-enriched, and S is a $\mathcal{V}$-enriched site, then the category of prefactorization algebras over S with values in $\mathcal{C}$ admits a transferred model structure. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric flat, then a functor of sites $S \to S'$ that induces the identity morphism on objects and is a componentwise weak equivalence on morphism gives a Quillen equivalence of the corresponding model categories.*

This raises the question whether the above model structure can be upgraded to factorization algebras. Fibrant objects in the resulting structure would be "weakly lax" functors defined in the previous section that satisfy the codescent condition with respect to the Grothendieck topology on S. As usual, one could try to enforce the codescent property using the obvious left Bousfield localization. However, the model category of prefactorization algebras constructed above is not left proper, so a special argument is needed to ensure that cobase changes of local acyclic cofibrations are local weak equivalences.

References

[AM10] Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan, *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR2724388, Zbl 1209.18002. http://math.tamu.edu/~maguiar/a.pdf. 8

[BB13] Michael Batanin and Clemens Berger, *Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads*. arXiv:1305.0086v4. 1, 2, 5, 7

[Ber07] Julia E. Bergner, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 5, 2043–2058. MR2276611, Zbl 1114.18006, arXiv:math/0406507v2. 9.2

[BM03] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 805–831. MR2016697, Zbl 1041.18011, arXiv:math/0206094v3. 1, 4, 5, 5, 6, 9.1

[BM06] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *The Boardman-Vogt resolution of operads in monoidal model categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 5, 807–849. MR2248514, Zbl 1105.18007, arXiv:math/0502155v2. 8.9

[BM07] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *Resolution of coloured operads and rectification of homotopy algebras*, Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 31–58. MR2342815, Zbl 1134.18005, arXiv:math/0512576v2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/431/08265. 9.2, 9.4, 9.5

[BM09] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *On the derived category of an algebra over an operad*, Georgian Math. J. **16** (2009), no. 1, 13–28. MR2527612, Zbl 1171.18005, arXiv:0801.2664v2. 1, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6

[BM13] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *On the homotopy theory of enriched categories*, Q. J. Math. **64** (2013), no. 3, 805–846. MR3094501, Zbl 1282.18006, arXiv:1201.2134v3. 9.2

[Bor94a] Francis Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 1*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 50, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1291599, Zbl 1143.18001. http://libgen.io/get?md5=3D413ACEB21BD494E24D778E74F5438D. 3

[Bor94b] Francis Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 51, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1313497, Zbl 1143.18002. http://libgen.io/get?md5=25437F068C15E3852CE4583EEACC7367. 3, 8.1, 8

[BW05] Michael Barr and Charles Wells, *Toposes, triples and theories*, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. (2005), no. 12, x+288. MR2178101, Zbl 1081.18006. http://tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/12/tr12abs.html. 3

[Cav14] Giovanni Caviglia, *A Model Structure for Enriched Coloured Operads*. arXiv:1401.6983v1. 1, 9.4

[CG] Kevin Costello and Owen Gwilliam, *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory*. http://math.northwestern.edu/~costello/factorization.pdf. 9.7

[CM13] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Ieke Moerdijk, *Dendroidal sets and simplicial operads*, J. Topol. **6** (2013), no. 3, 705–756. MR3100888, Zbl 1291.55005, arXiv:1109.1004v1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jtopol/jtt006. 9.4

[EM06] A. D. Elmendorf and M. A. Mandell, *Rings, modules, and algebras in infinite loop space theory*, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), no. 1, 163–228. MR2254311, Zbl 1117.19001, arXiv:math/0403403v1. 1, 5, 7, 9.1

[Fre09] Benoit Fresse, *Modules over operads and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1967, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. MR2494775, Zbl 1178.18007, arXiv:0704.3090v4. 5

[GG11] Sergey Gorchinskiy and Vladimir Guletskii, *Positive model structures for abstract symmetric spectra*. arXiv:1108.3509v3. 7

[GJ14] Nicola Gambino and André Joyal, *On operads, bimodules and analytic functors*. arXiv:1405.7270v2. 3, 3, 3

[GV12] Javier J. Gutiérrez and Rainer M. Vogt, *A model structure for coloured operads in symmetric spectra*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 1-2, 223–239. MR2875831, Zbl 1248.18010, arXiv:1006.2316v2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-010-0794-2. 9.4, 9.4.3

[Har09] John E. Harper, *Homotopy theory of modules over operads in symmetric spectra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **9** (2009), no. 3, 1637–1680. MR2539191, Zbl 1235.55004, arXiv:0801.0193v3. 1, 5, 5, 7

[Har10] John E. Harper, *Homotopy theory of modules over operads and non-Σ operads in monoidal model categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 8, 1407–1434. MR2593672, Zbl 1231.55011, arXiv:0801.0191v2. 1, 7

[Hau13] Rune Haugseng, *Rectification of enriched infinity-categories*. arXiv:1312.3881v3. 7

[HH13] John E. Harper and Kathryn Hess, *Homotopy completion and topological Quillen homology of structured ring spectra*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 3, 1325–1416. MR3073927, Zbl 1270.18025, arXiv:1102.1234v5. 5

[Hin97] Vladimir Hinich, *Homological algebra of homotopy algebras*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 10, 3291–3323. MR1465117, Zbl 0894.18008, arXiv:q-alg/9702015v1. 1, 7

[Hin13] V. Hinich, *Rectification of algebras and modules*. arXiv:1311.4130v7. 7

[Hir03] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR1944041, Zbl 1017.55001. http://libgen.io/get?md5=23EF8741E363DBAE39D1E7BA13F134E6. i, ii, 5, 5, 7

[Hor13] Jens Hornbostel, *Preorientations of the derived motivic multiplicative group*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 5, 2667–2712. MR3116300, Zbl 1281.55009, arXiv:1005.4546v2. 1, 7

[Hov99] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR1650134, Zbl 0909.55001. http://libgen.io/get?md5=229756633EF1A320BED3109B1AC1AB52. 2

[Ili15] Amrani Ilias, *Model structure on the category of small topological categories*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **10** (2015), no. 1, 63–70. MR3313635, arXiv:1110.2695v1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40062-013-0041-8. 9.2

[JY09] Mark W. Johnson and Donald Yau, *On homotopy invariance for algebras over colored PROPs*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **4** (2009), no. 1, 275–315. MR2559644, arXiv:0906.0015v4. 1

[Kel05] G. M. Kelly, *On the operads of J. P. May*, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ. (2005), no. 13, 1–13. MR2177746, Zbl 1082.18009. http://tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/13/tr13abs.html. 3.5

[Kro07] Tore August Kro, *Model structure on operads in orthogonal spectra*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **9** (2007), no. 2, 397–412. MR2366955, Zbl 1137.18006, arXiv:math/0703838v1. http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.hha/1201127343. 5, 9.4

[Lew91] L. Gaunce Lewis, Jr., *Is there a convenient category of spectra?*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **73** (1991), no. 3, 233–246. MR1124786, Zbl 0727.55005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(91)90030-6. 5

[Lin69] F. E. J. Linton, *Coequalizers in categories of algebras*, Sem. on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67), Springer, Berlin, 1969, 75–90. MR0244341, Zbl 0181.02902. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0083082. 3

[Lur] Jacob Lurie, *Higher algebra (September 14, 2014)*. http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf. 1, 5, 7, 7, 7, 7.10, 7, 7.12

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR2522659, Zbl 1175.18001, arXiv:math/0608040v4. http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/croppedtopoi.pdf. 2, 7, 9.2

[Man01] Michael A. Mandell, *E_∞ algebras and p-adic homotopy theory*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 1, 43–94. MR1791268, Zbl 0974.55004. 5

[Moo58] John C. Moore, *Semi-simplicial complexes and Postnikov systems*, Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposium on algebraic topology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958, 232–247. MR0111027, Zbl 0089.18001. http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/papers/mexico.pdf. 1

[Mur11] Fernando Muro, *Homotopy theory of nonsymmetric operads*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **11** (2011), no. 3, 1541–1599. MR2821434, Zbl 1228.18006, arXiv:1101.1634v1. 1, 3, 5, 5.12, 7, 9.2, 9.4

[Mur12] Fernando Muro, *Dwyer-Kan homotopy theory of enriched categories*. arXiv:1201.1575v4. 9.2

[Mur14] Fernando Muro, *Homotopy theory of non-symmetric operads. II: Change of base category and left properness.*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 1, 229–281. Zbl 1281.18001, arXiv:1304.6641v2. 8, i

[Mur15] Fernando Muro, *Corrections to "Homotopy theory of nonsymmetric operads, I, II"*. arXiv:1507.06644v1. 1, 5, 5.12, 8

[PS14] Dmitri Pavlov and Jakob Scholbach, *Symmetric operads in abstract symmetric spectra*. arXiv:1410.5699v2. 1, 1, 9

[PS15] Dmitri Pavlov and Jakob Scholbach, *Homotopy theory of symmetric powers*. arXiv:1510.04969v2. 1, 1

[Rez] Charles Rezk, *A model category for categories*. http://math.uiuc.edu/~rezk/cat-ho.dvi. 9.3

[Rob11] Marcy Robertson, *The Homotopy Theory of Simplicially Enriched Multicategories*. arXiv:1111.4146v1. 9.4

[Ros07] J. Rosický, *On homotopy varieties*, Adv. Math. **214** (2007), no. 2, 525–550. MR2349711, Zbl 1126.55004, arXiv:math/0509655v2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2007.02.011. 7.9

[Shi04] Brooke Shipley, *A convenient model category for commutative ring spectra*, Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, 473–483. MR2066511, Zbl 1063.55006. 5

[Spi01] Markus Spitzweck, *Operads, algebras and modules in model categories and motives.*, Bonn: Univ. Bonn. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät (Dissertation), 2001. Zbl 1103.18300. http://d-nb.info/970107374/34. 1, 5, 5, 6, 9.4

[SS00] Stefan Schwede and Brooke E. Shipley, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 2, 491–511. MR1734325, Zbl 1026.18004, arXiv:math/9801082v1. 5.12

[SS03] Stefan Schwede and Brooke Shipley, *Equivalences of monoidal model categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **3** (2003), 287–334 (electronic). MR1997322, Zbl 1028.55013, arXiv:math/0209342v2. 7, 8, 8.1

[Sta09] Alexandru E. Stanculescu, *Homotopy theories on enriched categories and on comonoids*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. MR2801697. http://digitool.library.mcgill.ca/thesisfile115852.pdf. 9.2

[Tab05] Gonçalo Tabuada, *Invariants additifs de DG-catégories*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 53, 3309–3339. MR2196100, Zbl 1094.18006, arXiv:math/0507227v1. 9.2

[Tab07] Gonçalo Tabuada, *Erratum to: "Additive invariants of DG-categories" (French) [Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005***, no. 53, 3309–3339; MR2196100]*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 24, Art. ID rnm149, 17. MR2377018. 9.2

[Tab09] Gonçalo Tabuada, *Homotopy theory of spectral categories*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 4, 1122–1143. MR2518634, Zbl 1168.55008, arXiv:0801.4524v3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2009.01.014. 9.2

[Whi14] David White, *Model Structures on Commutative Monoids in General Model Categories*. arXiv:1403.6759v1. 1, 5

[WY15] David White and Donald Yau, *Bousfield Localization and Algebras over Colored Operads*. arXiv:1503.06720v2. 5